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#### Abstract

The theorem of Mather on generic projections of smooth algebraic varieties is also proved for the singular ones.
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## 1. Introduction

In [1], a self-contained proof appeared of the following transversality theorem of Mather on generic projections (see [2]) in the setting of algebraic geometry:

THEOREM 1.1. Let $X$ be a smooth subvariety of codimension c of the complex projective space $\mathbf{P}^{n}$. Let $T$ be any linear subspace of $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ of dimension $t$ such that $T \cap X=\emptyset$ (so $t \leqslant c-1$ ). For any $i_{1} \leqslant t+1$ let $X_{i_{1}}=\{x \in X \mid \operatorname{dim}$ $\left.\left[(T X)_{x} \cap T\right]=i_{1}-1\right\}$ (the dimension of $\emptyset$ is -1 ). When $X_{i_{1}}$ is smooth, for any $i_{2} \leqslant i_{1}$ define $X_{i_{1}, i_{2}}=\left\{x \in X_{i_{1} \mid} \mid \operatorname{dim}\left[\left(T X_{i_{1}}\right)_{x} \cap T\right]=i_{2}-1\right\}$ and so on; for $i_{k} \leqslant \ldots i_{2} \leqslant i_{1}$ define (when possible) $X_{i_{1}}, \ldots, i_{k}$. For $T$ in a Zariski open set of the Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}\left(\mathbf{P}^{t}, \mathbf{P}^{t}\right)$, each $X_{i_{1}}, \ldots, i_{k}$ is smooth (and so the above definitions are possible) until (increasing $k$ ) it becomes empty and its codimension $v_{I}$ in $X$ can be calculated (where $I=\left(i_{1}, i_{2} \ldots, i_{k}\right)$ ).

We refer to [1] for the calculation of $v_{I}$ and for comments and remarks about the theorem.
This theorem was stated for smooth subvarieties of $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ but the same proof can also be used for the smooth open set $X$ of a singular algebraic variety $Y$ except for the crucial th. 3.15, (p. 409 of [1]), in which the compactness of $X$ is needed.

In this short note we want to replace the proof in [1] with a little longer proof which also works in the case under examination. We obtain the following theorem:

THEOREM 1.2. Theorem 1.1 still holds if $X$ is replaced with the smooth open subvariety of a possibly singular projective variety $Y$.

## 2. Background

Let $Y$ be a singular algebraic subvariety of the $n$-dimensional projective space $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ over the complex numbers. Let $X$ be the smooth open set of $Y$. First of all we outline the proof of Mather's theorem given in [1] and we introduce some notation.

Fix an integer $t$ with $0 \leqslant t \leqslant c-1$. Let $L$ be a $(n-t-1)$-dimensional linear subspace of $\mathbf{P}^{n}$.
Let $F=\left\{\mathbf{P}^{t} \in \operatorname{Gr}\left(\mathbf{P}^{t}, \mathbf{P}^{n}\right) \mid \mathbf{P}^{t} \cap X=\emptyset\right.$ and $\left.\mathbf{P}^{t} \cap L=\emptyset\right\}$. For any $f \in F$ let $p_{f}: X \rightarrow L$ be the linear projection centered in $f$ and let $j^{k} p_{f}$ be its $k$-jet $\left(j^{k} p_{f}: X \rightarrow J^{k}(X, L)\right.$ sends every $x \in X$ into the $k$-jet of $p_{f}$ in $x$, see [1] for the definition of $J^{k}(X, L)$ ). Let $I=\left(i_{1}, i_{2} \cdots, i_{k}\right)$ be any sequence of integers with $\left(i_{1} \geqslant i_{2} \cdots \geqslant i_{k} \geqslant 0\right)$.

Let $g: X \times F \rightarrow J^{k}(X, L)$ be given by: $g(x, f)=\left(j^{k} p_{f}\right)_{x}$.
The proof of Mather's theorem is divided into two steps:
(1) define in $J^{k}(X, L)$ some submanifolds $\Sigma^{I}$ with the property that $j^{k} p_{f}^{-1}\left(\Sigma^{I}\right)=X_{I}$ (when $X_{I}$ are defined), this definition is not trivial and it is due to Boardman: $\Sigma^{I}$ are the so-called Thom-Boardman singularities, they are smooth, locally closed and of codimension $v_{I}$;
(2) show that there exists a Zariski open set $U \in F$ such that for any $f \in U$, $j^{k} p_{f}: X \rightarrow J^{k}(X, L)$ is transversal to $\Sigma^{I}$.

The proof of step (1) runs exactly as in [1].
To prove step (2) first we remark (see [1], prop. 3.13) that for any smooth subvariety $W \subset J^{k}(X, L)$ there exists a Zariski open set $U \in F$ such that for any $f \in U, j^{k} p_{f}: X \rightarrow J^{k}(X, L)$ is transversal to $W$ if $g$ is transversal to $W$. Second, we give the following definition: let $\varphi: X \rightarrow J^{k}(X, L)$ be a holomorphic map and let $W \subset J^{k}(X, L)$ be a smooth subvariety, then define:

$$
\delta(\varphi, W, x)=0 \quad \text { if } \varphi(x) \notin W
$$

$\delta(\varphi, W, x)=\operatorname{dim}\left[J^{k}(X, L)\right]-\operatorname{dim}\left[T W_{\varphi(x)}+\mathrm{d} \varphi(T X)_{x}\right]$, if $\varphi(x) \in W$, where $T W$ and $T X$ are the tangent spaces and d stands for the usual differential.

Note that $\delta(\varphi, W, x) \geqslant 0$ and that $\varphi$ is transversal to $W$ at $x$ if and only if $\delta(\varphi, W, x)=0$.

As in [1], th. 3.10 and 3.11 , it can be shown that for $W=\Sigma^{I} \subset J^{k}(X, L)$ the following condition $(*)$ is satisfied:
$(*) \quad \delta(g, W,(x, f)) \leqslant \delta\left(j^{k} p_{f}, W, x\right)$ for any $(x, f) \in X \times F$ and equality holds if and only if $\delta\left(j^{k} p_{f}, W, x\right)=0$.

Therefore to prove step (2) all that we need is the following:
THEOREM 2.1. With the previous notation, assume that condition (*) is satisfied for some smooth subvariety $W \subset J^{k}(X, L)$; then there exists a Zariski open set $U \in F$ such that for any $f \in U, j^{k} p_{f}: X \rightarrow J^{k}(X, L)$ is transversal to $W$.

The proof of this theorem (th. 3.15 in [1]) must be rewritten in our case. In Section 3 we will give this proof and so we will also prove Theorem 1.2.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Let us define $\delta_{g}=\operatorname{Sup}_{(x, f) \in X \times F}\{\delta(g, W,(x, f))\}$; moreover, let us define $A=\left\{(x, f) \in X \times F \mid \delta(g, W,(x, f))=\delta_{g}\right\} \subset X \times F, A$ is a Zariski closed set in $X \times F$. Note that Theorem 2.1 is true if $\delta_{g}=0$ (see th. 3.13 in [1]), so we can assume $\delta_{g} \neq 0$ and $A \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\pi_{2}: X \times F \rightarrow F$ be the natural projection. $X \times F$ is equipped with the induced Zariski topology from $Y \times F$. Let $\bar{A}$ be the Zariski closure of $A$ in $Y \times F$; let $\pi_{3}: Y \times F \rightarrow F$ be the natural projection, $\pi_{3}(\bar{A})$ is a Zariski closed set of $F$. If $\pi_{3}(\bar{A})$ is a proper subset of $F$, we can consider $F^{\prime}=F \backslash \pi_{3}(\bar{A})$ and $g^{\prime}=g_{\mid X \times F^{\prime}}$. The assumptions of the theorem are true for $F^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}$ and $\delta_{g^{\prime}}<\delta_{g}$. If the corresponding $\pi_{3}(\bar{A})$ were a proper subset of $F^{\prime}$, we would get $F^{\prime \prime}$ and $g^{\prime \prime}$ and so on. After a finite number of steps, we would get $F^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}$, for which the assumptions would be still true, with $\delta_{g^{\prime}}=0$, so the theorem would be proved.
Hence, we have only to prove that $\pi_{3}(\bar{A})$ is a proper subset of $F$.
By contradiction, let us assume that $\pi_{3}(\bar{A})=F$, then $F=\overline{\pi_{2}(A)}$.
We can choose $\left(x_{0}, f_{0}\right) \in A$ and $z_{0}=\left(j^{k} g\right)_{\left(x_{0}, f_{0}\right)} \in W$. As $\delta\left(g, W,\left(x_{0}, f_{0}\right)\right)$ is strictly positive, by assumption we get that $\delta\left(j^{k} p_{f_{0}}, W, x_{0}\right)$ is strictly positive too, hence $j^{k} p_{f_{0}}$ is not transversal to $W$ at $x_{0}$.
$W$ is smooth at $x_{0}$ so it is a local complete intersection, then it is possible (see [1], proof of th. 3.15) to get a smooth subvariety $W^{\prime} \subset J^{k}(X, L)$ and a smooth dense open Zariski set $Z \subset X \times F$ such that: $W \subseteq W^{\prime}, \operatorname{dim}\left(W^{\prime}\right)-\operatorname{dim}(W)=\delta_{g}, g$ is transversal to $W^{\prime}$ at $(x, f)$ for any $(x, f) \in Z$.

The holomorphic map $g_{\mid Z}: Z \rightarrow J^{k}(X, L)$ is transversal to $W^{\prime}$ so that $g_{\mid Z}^{-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right)=g^{-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \cap Z$ is smooth in $X \times F$.

Let us consider

$$
\pi=\pi_{2_{\mathrm{g}^{-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathrm{z}}}=\pi_{3_{\mid g^{-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathrm{z}}}: g^{-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \cap Z \rightarrow F .
$$

It is easy to see that
(1) $\overline{\pi_{2}(A \cap Z)}=F$.

Hence $F=\overline{\pi_{2}(Z)}$. Moreover $F=\overline{\pi_{2}\left(g^{-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right)\right)}$, otherwise there would exist a Zariski open set $B \subset F$ such that $B \cap \pi_{2}\left(g^{-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right)\right)=\emptyset$, hence for any $f \in B$ and
for any $x \in X,(x, f) \notin g^{-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$, i.e. $g(x, f) \notin W^{\prime}$, i.e. $g(x, f) \notin W$, i.e. for any $f \in B$ and for any $x \in X, \delta(g, W,(x, f))=0$ and the theorem would be immediately proved (see th. 3.13 of [1]).

It follows:

$$
\overline{\pi_{2}\left(g^{-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \cap Z\right)} \subseteq \overline{\pi_{2}\left(g^{-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right)\right) \cap \pi_{2}(Z)} \subseteq \overline{\pi_{2}\left(g^{-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right)\right)} \cap \overline{\pi_{2}(Z)}=F
$$

therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\pi\left(g^{-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \cap Z\right)}=F . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we consider the holomorphic map $\pi: g^{-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \cap Z \rightarrow F$ between smooth manifolds, as (2) holds there exists a Zariski open set $D \subset F$ such that for any $f \in D \pi^{-1}(f)$ is smooth and of the expected codimension.

By (1) $\left[\pi_{2}(A \cap Z)\right] \cap D \neq \emptyset$, then we can choose $f_{1} \in\left[\pi_{2}(A \cap Z)\right] \cap D$ such that $\pi^{-1}\left(f_{1}\right)$ is smooth, of the expected codimension and biholomorphic to a Zariski open set of $\left(j^{k} p_{f_{1}}\right)^{-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \subset X$. We can also choose $x_{1} \in X$ such that $\left(j^{k} p_{f_{1}}\right)^{-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ is smooth, of the expected codimension and smooth at $x_{1}$. This fact implies that $j^{k} p_{f_{1}}$ is transversal to $W^{\prime}$ at $x_{1}$, (see [1], th. 1.2), i.e. $\delta\left(j^{k} p_{f_{1}}, W^{\prime}, x_{1}\right)=0$.

On the other hand $f_{1} \in \pi_{2}(A \cap Z)$, hence it is possible to choose $x_{1} \in X$ such that $\left(x_{1}, f_{1}\right) \in A$, i.e. $\delta\left(g, W,\left(x_{1}, f_{1}\right)\right)=\delta_{g}$.

Let $z_{1}=\left(j^{k} p_{f_{1}}\right)_{x_{1}}$ then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta\left(j^{k} p_{f_{1}}, W^{\prime}, x_{1}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left[J^{k}(X, L)\right]-\operatorname{dim}\left[\left(T W^{\prime}\right)_{z_{1}}+d j^{k} p_{f_{1}}(T X)_{x_{1}}\right] \\
& \delta\left(j^{k} p_{f_{1}}, W, x_{1}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left[J^{k}(X, L)\right]-\operatorname{dim}\left[(T W)_{z_{1}}+d j^{k} p_{f_{1}}(T X)_{x_{1}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
0=\delta\left(j^{k} p_{f_{1}}, W^{\prime}, x_{1}\right) \geqslant \delta\left(j^{k} p_{f_{1}}, W, x_{1}\right)-\delta_{g}
$$

But assumption $(*)$ and the fact that $\left(x_{1}, f_{1}\right) \in A$ imply:

$$
0 \geqslant \delta\left(j^{k} p_{f_{1}}, W, x_{1}\right)-\delta_{g}>\delta\left(g, W,\left(x_{1}, f_{1}\right)\right)-\delta_{g}=\delta_{g}-\delta_{g}=0
$$

a contradiction!

## 4. Cones

In this brief section we want to remark that when $Y$ is a cone, it is possible to use Mather's theorem (1.1). For instance, let us assume that $Y$ is a cone in $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ of vertex $V$ on a smooth subvariety $B$ of $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ whose span is $\mathbf{P}^{s}$ with $\operatorname{dim}(Y)=\gamma=b+v+1, \operatorname{dim}(B)=b, \operatorname{dim}(V)=v, n=s+v+1$.

Let $T$ be a generic $t$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ with: $T \cap Y=\emptyset, t \leqslant \gamma-1$, $\gamma \geqslant(t+1)(n-\gamma)$. Let $Y_{t+1}=\left\{y \in Y \mid y\right.$ is a smooth point, $\left.(T Y)_{y} \supset T\right\}$.

If $Y$ were smooth Mather's theorem (1.1) would say that, for generic $T, Y_{t+1}$ is a smooth subvariety of $Y$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(Y_{t+1}\right)=\gamma-(t+1)(n-\gamma)$, in our case we have:

PROPOSITION. The closure of $Y_{t+1}$ is a cone of dimension $\gamma-(t+1)(n-\gamma)$ with vertex $V$ over a smooth variety.

As $Y$ is a cone we remark that $t \leqslant s-1(t \leqslant n-\gamma-1=s-b-1)$, hence there exists a linear subspace $H \simeq \mathbf{P}^{s}$ in $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ such that $H \supset T$ and $H \cap V=\emptyset$. We can assume that $B=H \cap Y$ and we can apply Theorem 1.1 to $\mathbf{P}^{s}, T$ and $B$ as $B$ is smooth, $T \cap B=\emptyset$ and $T$ is generic in $\mathbf{P}^{s}$ with respect to $B$. If $t \leqslant b-1$ and $b \geqslant(t+1)(s-b)$ (for instance when $t=0$ and $2 b \geqslant s$ ) then $B_{t+1}=\left\{y \in B \mid(T B)_{y} \supset T\right\}$ is a smooth subvariety of $B$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(B_{t+1}\right)=b-(t+1)(s-b)$. On the other hand, $(T B)_{y} \supset T$ if and only if $(T Y)_{y} \supset T$ as $(T Y)_{y}=\left\langle V,(T B)_{y}\right\rangle$ i.e. $(T B)_{y}=(T Y)_{y} \cap H$, hence $Y_{t+1} \cap H=B_{t+1}$ and the closure in $Y$ of $Y_{t+1}$ is another cone of vertex $V$ over $B_{t+1}$. This cone has dimension $b-(t+1)(s-b)+v+1=\gamma-(t+1)(n-\gamma)$ which is exactly the expected dimension when $Y$ is smooth.
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