Implicit Partial Differential Equations

Bernard Dacorogna and Paolo Marcellini

Contents

Preface

Nonlinear partial differential equations has become one of the main tools of modern mathematical analysis; in spite of seemingly contradictory terminology, the subject of nonlinear differential equations finds its origins in the theory of linear differential equations, and a large part of functional analysis derived its inspiration from the study of linear pdes.

In recent years, several mathematicians have investigated nonlinear equations, particularly those of the second order, both linear and nonlinear and either in divergence or nondivergence form. Quasilinear and fully nonlinear differential equations are relevant classes of such equations and have been widely examined in the mathematical literature.

In this work we present a new family of differential equations called *"implicit partial differential equations"*, described in detail in the introduction (c.f. Chapter 1). It is a class of nonlinear equations that does not include the family of fully nonlinear elliptic pdes. We present a new functional analytic method based on the Baire category theorem for handling the existence of almost everywhere solutions of these implicit equations. The results have been obtained for the most part in recent years and have important applications to the calculus of variations, nonlinear elasticity, problems of phase transitions and optimal design; some results have not been published elsewhere.

The book is essentially self-contained, and includes some background material on viscosity solutions, different notions of convexity involved in the vectorial calculus of variations, singular values, Vitali type covering theorems, and the approximation of Sobolev functions by piecewise affine functions. Also, a comparison is made with other methods — notably the

method of viscosity solutions and briefly that of convex integration. Many mathematical examples stemming from applications to the material sciences are thoroughly discussed.

The book is divided into four parts. In Part 1 we consider the *scalar* case for first (Chapter 2) and second (Chapter 3) order equations. We also compare (Chapter 4) our approach for obtaining existence results with the celebrated viscosity method. While most of our existence results obtained in this part of the book are consequences of *vectorial* results considered in the second part, we have avoided (except for very briefly in Section 3.3) vectorial machinery in order to make the material more readable.

In Part 2 we first (Chapter 5) recall basic results on generalized notions of convexity, such as quasiconvexity, as well as on some important lower semicontinuity theorems of the calculus of variations. Central existence results of Part 2 are in Chapter 6, where Nth order vectorial problems are discussed.

In Part 3 we study in great detail applications of vectorial existence results to important problems originating, for example, from geometry or from the material sciences. These applications concern singular values, potential wells and the complex eikonal equation.

Finally, in Part 4 we gather some nonclassical Vitali type covering theorems, as well as several fine results on the approximation of Sobolev functions by piecewise affine or polynomial functions. These last results may be relevant in other contexts, such as numerical analysis.

Acknowledgments

Bernard Dacorogna and Paolo Marcellini

Firenze and Lausanne, March 1999

1
Introduction

1.1 The first order case

1.1.1 Statement of the problem

One of the main purposes of this book is to study the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} F_i(x, u(x), Du(x)) = 0, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \quad i = 1, \dots, I \\ u(x) = \varphi(x), & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \qquad (1.1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open set, $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and therefore $Du \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ (if $m = 1$ we say that the problem is *scalar* and otherwise we say that it is *vectorial*), $F_i : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$, $F_i = F_i(x, s, \xi)$, $i = 1, \dots, I$, are given. The boundary condition φ is prescribed (depending of the context it will be either continuously differentiable or only Lipschitz-continuous).

As is well known, it is not reasonable to expect the solutions to be $C^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ (even when $m = n = 1$). We will however investigate throughout this book the existence of $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ solutions of (1.1). The nature of the question excludes automatically from our investigation quasilinear problems (i.e., equations where the derivatives appear linearly) since as well known solutions of such problems cannot satisfy the Dirichlet boundary condition. The equations that we will consider in this monograph will therefore be called of *implicit type*, i.e., they exclude the quasilinear case. The approach we will discuss here is a functional analytic method based on the Baire category theorem and on weak lower semicontinuity of convex and quasiconvex integrals.

1.1.2   The scalar case

We now discuss the case $m = 1$, i.e., there is only one unknown scalar function u. This case is much simpler than the vectorial one and has received much more attention. The prototype of first order implicit equations is the *eikonal equation* which is of importance in geometrical optics.

Example 1.1 (Eikonal equation)   The problem is to find $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} |Du(x)| = a(x, u(x)), & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ u = \varphi, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is an open set (bounded or unbounded) of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $a : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded continuous function and the boundary datum $\varphi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfies the compatibility condition

$$|D\varphi(x)| \le a(x, \varphi(x)), \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

A natural generalization of this example leads to the following result (c.f. Theorem **??**, for a more general version).

Theorem 1.2 *Let Ω be an open set of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function, convex with respect to the last variable and coercive (i.e., $\lim F(x, u, \xi) = +\infty$, if $|\xi| \to \infty$ uniformly with respect to (x, u)). Let $\varphi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ be a function satisfying*

$$F(x, \varphi(x), D\varphi(x)) \le 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \tag{1.2}$$

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $\|u - \varphi\|_{L^\infty} \le \varepsilon$ and

$$\begin{cases} F(x, u(x), Du(x)) = 0, & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ u = \varphi, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

Apart from the conclusion *on the density* and from the fact that no other hypothesis than continuity on the behavior of the function F with respect to the variable u is made, this theorem is well known (and also much more precise, because some explicit formulas for a solution are known in special cases) since the pioneering work of Hopf [188], Lax [211], Kruzkov [208] (see also Benton [39], Crandall-Lions [96] and for a thorough treatment Lions [218]). We will come back to it below when we will briefly speak of *viscosity solutions* of (1.3). Theorem 1.2 is a consequence of the general results obtained in this book (c.f. also [111] and De Blasi-Pianigiani [127]).

We will also be able to treat a generalization of the eikonal equation, which we call the *eikonal system* of the following type.

Example 1.3 (Eikonal system) We look for solutions $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right| = a_i(x, u(x)), & i = 1, \ldots, n, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ u = \varphi, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is an open set of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $a_i : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, with $a_i \geq a_0 > 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, are bounded continuous functions and the boundary datum $\varphi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfies the compatibility conditions

$$\left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \right| < a_i(x, \varphi(x)), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

The example can be considered either as a nonconvex version of (1.3) by setting, for instance,

$$F(x, s, \xi) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} ||\xi_i| - a_i(x, s)|$$

or as a system of convex functions (in the gradient variable) of the implicit type (1.1), with $F_i(x, s, \xi) = |\xi_i| - a_i(x, s)$.

In the nonconvex case our approach will lead to the following theorem (c.f. Theorem **??**), which is in optimal form when the Hamiltonian F is independent of the lower order terms (x, u). Setting

$$E = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : F(\xi) = 0\} \tag{1.4}$$

the problem is then transformed into a differential inclusion.

Theorem 1.4 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\varphi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfy*

$$D\varphi(x) \in E \cup \text{int co } E, \quad a.e. \ x \in \Omega; \tag{1.5}$$

then there exists (a dense set of) $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} Du(x) \in E, & a.e. \ x \in \Omega \\ u(x) = \varphi(x), & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

Remark 1.5 (i) The interior of the convex hull of E is denoted by $\text{int co } E$. Observe also that (when compared with Section 1.1.4 for the vectorial case)

$$\text{int co } E = \text{int } \overline{\text{co } E}.$$

(ii) The density, in the L^∞-norm, is to be understood in the sense of the Baire category theorem.

(iii) Note that when F is convex and coercive and E is given by (1.4) then

$$E \cup \operatorname{int} \operatorname{co} E = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ F(\xi) \le 0\}.$$

Similarly, in Example 1.3,

$$\operatorname{int} \operatorname{co} E = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi_i| < a_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Observe also that if F is linear, then $\operatorname{int} \operatorname{co} E = \emptyset$ and thus, as already mentioned, our analysis excludes linear (and quasilinear) equations.

This theorem has been established by many authors depending on further assumptions on the boundary datum. When φ is linear an explicit construction can be made, which by analogy with the case $n = 2$ we call a *pyramid,* c.f. Cellina [78], Friesecke [162]. When φ is nonlinear we refer to [108], [110] and to Bressan-Flores [55] and De Blasi-Pianigiani [127]. Theorem 1.4 extends to the case with explicit dependence on (x, u), c.f. Theorem **??**.

Returning to Theorem 1.4 one should observe that the compatibility condition (1.5) is also necessary in the sense described below (c.f. Section 2.4). First note that if φ is linear, i.e.,

$$\varphi(x) = \langle \xi_0; x \rangle + q$$

for some $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$, then necessarily any solution of $Du(x) \in E \subset \operatorname{co} E$ verifies, by the Jensen inequality,

$$\xi_0 = \frac{1}{\operatorname{meas} \Omega} \int_\Omega Du(x)\, dx \in \overline{\operatorname{co} E}.$$

Thus a necessary condition for the solvability of problem (1.6) is

$$D\varphi \in \overline{\operatorname{co} E}. \tag{1.7}$$

Moreover, in Section 2.4, we show in an example that in general the condition

$$\xi_0 = D\varphi(x) \in E \cup \operatorname{int} \operatorname{co} E, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega$$

cannot be replaced by (1.7). The necessary condition (1.7) can also be considered when φ is nonlinear and we refer to the discussion of Section **??** for more details.

1.1.3 Some examples in the vectorial case

When we turn to the *vectorial case* the problem becomes more delicate because the classical notion of convexity is too strong and has to be replaced by weaker notions such as *quasiconvexity* and *rank one convexity.* Before entering into some details about the extension of Theorem 1.4 to

the vectorial case, we point out some examples that will be treated in this book.

The first example (c.f. Chapter 7) that we will consider is the problem of *singular values.* It is of importance in *nonlinear elasticity* and in *optimal design* (c.f. [107]).

We recall that, given a matrix $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, we denote by $0 \leq \lambda_1(\xi) \leq \lambda_2(\xi) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n(\xi)$ its singular values; these are the eigenvalues of the symmetric matrix $(\xi^t \xi)^{1/2}$. They satisfy

$$|\xi|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \xi_{ij}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i(\xi))^2,$$

$$|adj_s\xi|^2 = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} (\lambda_{i_1}(\xi))^2 \cdot \ldots \cdot (\lambda_{i_s}(\xi))^2,$$

$$|\det \xi| = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(\xi),$$

where $adj_s\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{s} \times \binom{n}{s}}$ denotes the matrix obtained by forming all the $s \times s$ minors, $2 \leq s \leq n-1$, of the matrix ξ (if $n = 3$, $adj_2\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ is the usual adjugate matrix). In particular, if $n = 2$, then

$$|\xi|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \xi_{ij}^2 = (\lambda_1(\xi))^2 + (\lambda_2(\xi))^2,$$

$$|\det \xi| = \lambda_1(\xi)\lambda_2(\xi).$$

We will then get the following existence theorem (c.f. Theorem **??**).

Theorem 1.6 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set, $a_i : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, be bounded continuous functions satisfying $0 < c \leq a_1(x,s) \leq \ldots \leq a_n(x,s)$ for some constant c and for every $(x,s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\varphi \in C^1\left(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^n\right)$ (or piecewise C^1) satisfy*

$$\prod_{i=\nu}^n \lambda_i(D\varphi(x)) < \prod_{i=\nu}^n a_i(x, \varphi(x)), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \nu = 1, \ldots, n$$

(in particular $\varphi \equiv 0$ satisfies the above condition); then there exists (a dense set of) $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_i(Du(x)) = a_i(x, u(x)), & a.e.\ x \in \Omega, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n \\ u(x) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Remark 1.7 The above theorem has been established in [108], [109], [110], [111] when $n = 2$ and, with the same proof, in [117] for the general case. When $n = 3$, $a_i \equiv 1$ and $\varphi \equiv 0$, this theorem can be found in Cellina-Perrotta [80]; see also Celada-Perrotta [74].

It is interesting to see some implications of the theorem when $n = 2$. The problem is then equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} |Du\,(x)|^2 = a_1^2 + a_2^2, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ |\det Du\,(x)| = a_1 a_2, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ u\,(x) = \varphi\,(x)\,, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \qquad (1.8)$$

Therefore the system can be seen as a combination of the *vectorial eikonal equation* and of the *equation of prescribed absolute value of the Jacobian determinant.* The first equation is, as we just saw, at the origin of the study of nonlinear first order pdes and an important motivation for the introduction of the notion of *viscosity solutions.* The second equation says that the absolute value of the Jacobian determinant is given. This last equation, without the absolute value, has also been studied (c.f. Moser [247] and in many other articles since then and in particular Dacorogna-Moser [115]); it has important applications for example in *dynamical systems* and in *nonlinear elasticity.*

If we now consider in (1.8) the case $a_1 = a_2 = 1$ and if we set

$$u = u\,(x,y) = \left(u^1, u^2\right), \qquad Du = \begin{pmatrix} u_x^1 & u_y^1 \\ u_x^2 & u_y^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

we find that (1.8) implies that

$$\begin{cases} \left[\left(u_x^1 - u_y^2\right)^2 + \left(u_y^1 + u_x^2\right)^2\right]\left[\left(u_x^1 + u_y^2\right)^2 + \left(u_y^1 - u_x^2\right)^2\right] = 0, & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ \\ \left(u^1, u^2\right) = \left(\varphi^1, \varphi^2\right), & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The theorem then means that we can find, under appropriate compatibility conditions, a Lipschitz map u that is either *conformal* or *anticonformal* (i.e., it satisfies either the *Cauchy-Riemann equation* or the *anti Cauchy-Riemann equation*) and on the boundary of the domain has both real and imaginary parts given. Of course if we have classical complex analysis in mind this result is quite surprising.

The second example that will be treated in detail in this book (c.f. Chapter 8) is the problem of two *potential wells* in two dimensions.

First let us introduce some notation. We let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set and $SO\,(n)$ (the set of *special orthogonal matrices*) denote the set of matrices $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that $U^t U = UU^t = I$ and $\det U = 1$.

Let us be given N matrices $A_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. The problem of potential wells consists in finding $u \in \varphi + W_0^{1,\infty}\,(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} Du\,(x) \in E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} SO\,(n)\,A_i \\ u\,(x) = \varphi\,(x)\,, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \qquad (1.9)$$

The N *wells* are $SO\,(n)\,A_i$, $1 \le i \le N$.

Before going further we should note that in the case of singular values considered in the preceding example, if we take $a_i = 1$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$, then the problem is also of potential wells type, i.e., $N = 2$ and

$$E = SO(n)I \cup SO(n)I_-, \quad \text{where} \quad I_- = diag\,(-1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1)\,,$$

or, in other words $E = O(n)$ (the set of orthogonal matrices).

The general problem of potential wells has been intensively studied by many authors in conjunction with *crystallographic models* involving fine micro-structures. The reference papers on the subject are Ball-James [31], [32]; see also Bhattacharya-Firoozye-James-Kohn [42], De Simone-Dolzmann [131], Dolzmann-Müller [135], Ericksen [145], [146], Firoozye-Kohn [153], Fonseca-Tartar [158], Kinderlehrer-Pedregal [200], Kohn [204], Luskin [219], Müller-Sverak [249], Pipkin [263], Sverak [289].

The mathematical problem (1.9) is very difficult and the difficulty increases drastically with the dimension and/or the number of wells. One of the main difficulties is to characterize the *quasiconvex* (or the *rank one convex*) *hull* of the set E; c.f. below for the definition of these hulls. The case that is best understood is when $n = N = 2$, i.e., the case of two potential wells in two dimensions. For (1.9) we will prove an existence result of Lipschitz solutions under the appropriate compatibility condition on the boundary datum (c.f. Theorem 1.16 and Theorem **??**). The same result has also been obtained by Müller-Sverak in [249] using *convex integration* (c.f. Section 1.3.2 below).

The third example (c.f. Chapter 9) that we want to mention is the *complex eikonal* equation. The problem has recently been introduced by Magnanini-Talenti [221], motivated by the study of harmonic functions in 3 dimensions and by problems of *geometrical optics* with *diffraction*. The question under consideration is to find a complex function

$$w\,(x, y) = u\,(x, y) + iv\,(x, y)$$

such that

$$\begin{cases} w_x^2 + w_y^2 + f^2 = 0, & \text{a.e in } \Omega \\ w = \varphi, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ ($f = f\,(x, y, u, v)$) is continuous and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is an open set. This is therefore equivalent to the system

$$\begin{cases} |Dv|^2 = |Du|^2 + f^2, & \text{a.e in } \Omega \\ \langle Du; Dv \rangle = 0, & \text{a.e in } \Omega \\ (u, v) = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\,, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

We will prove the following existence result (c.f. Theorem **??**)

Theorem 1.8 *For every $\varphi \in W^{1,\infty}\left(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2\right)$ there exists a (dense set of) function $w = (u, v) \in W^{1,\infty}\left(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2\right)$ satisfying (1.10).*

1.1.4   Convexity conditions in the vectorial case

In the context of vectorial problems we need to replace the notion of convexity by the concepts of quasiconvexity, rank one convexity or polyconvexity. We now introduce the first two notions and we refer to Chapter 5 for more details.

We say that a Borel measurable function $f : \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ is *quasiconvex* if

$$f(A) \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{meas} \Omega} \int_{\Omega} f(A + D\varphi(x)) \, dx,$$

for every bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, every $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, and every $\varphi \in W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Moreover, a function $f : \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is said to be *rank one convex* if

$$f(tA + (1 - t)B) \leq tf(A) + (1 - t)f(B),$$

for every $t \in [0, 1]$ and every $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with rank $\{A - B\} = 1$.

It is well known that

$$f \text{ convex} \implies f \text{ quasiconvex} \implies f \text{ rank one convex}.$$

Note also that when $m = 1$ (i.e., in the scalar case) the three notions are equivalent. The classical example of a quasiconvex (and also rank one convex) function that is not convex is (when $m = n$)

$$f(A) = \det A, \ A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}.$$

Given a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, the convex hull of E, denoted co E, is classically defined as the smallest convex set that contains E. By analogy we define the *rank one convex hull* of E, denoted Rco E, to be the smallest rank one convex set that contains E; more precisely

$$\operatorname{Rco} E = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : f(\xi) \leq 0, & \forall f : \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}, \\ f|_E = 0, & f \text{ rank one convex} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Similarly we define the (closure of the) *quasiconvex hull* of E as

$$\overline{\operatorname{Qco} E} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : f(\xi) \leq 0, & \forall f : \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}, \\ f|_E = 0, & f \text{ quasiconvex} \end{array} \right\}.$$

In the first example (c.f. Theorem 1.6) considered above we have

$$\overline{\operatorname{Qco} E} = \operatorname{Rco} E = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \prod_{i=\nu}^{n} \lambda_i(\xi) \leq \prod_{i=\nu}^{n} a_i, \quad \nu = 1, \ldots, n \right\}.$$

These two concepts allow us to discuss extensions of Theorem 1.4 to the vectorial case. The natural generalization is: given $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $\varphi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ satisfying

$$D\varphi(x) \in E \cup \operatorname{int} \overline{\operatorname{Qco} E}, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega,$$

there exists $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} Du(x) \in E, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ u(x) = \varphi(x), & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

However there are several obstacles to obtaining such a theorem in this full generality. The first problem concerns the regularity assumption on the boundary datum φ. In most of our theorems, with some exceptions such as Theorem 1.8, we will be obliged to assume that $\varphi \in C^1\left(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^m\right)$ (or *piecewise* C^1, denoted by C^1_{piec}) since we lack in the vectorial case good approximation theorems by piecewise affine functions (c.f. Chapter 10 for more details). The main problem is however that quasiconvex hulls are poorly understood, contrary to the convex ones. We will therefore need in our theorems to require some further structure on the quasiconvex hulls. With such restrictions we will be able to obtain the claimed generalization.

1.1.5 Some typical existence theorems in the vectorial case

We have selected two results that are relatively simple to express and that apply to the first and third examples quoted above (c.f. Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.8). The proofs of these results can be found in Section 6.5 of Chapter 6. The first one is (c.f. Theorem **??**).

Theorem 1.9 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. Let $F_i : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$, $F_i = F_i(x, s, \xi)$, $i = 1, \ldots, I$, be continuous with respect to $(x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m$ and quasiconvex and positively homogeneous of degree $\alpha_i > 0$ with respect to the last variable $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$.*

Let $a_i : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \ldots, I$, be bounded continuous functions satisfying for a certain $a_0 > 0$

$$a_i(x, s) \geq a_0 > 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, I, \quad \forall (x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Assume that, for every $(x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$\mathrm{Rco}\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : \ F_i(x, s, \xi) = a_i(x, s), \quad i = 1, \ldots, I\right\}$$
$$= \left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : \ F_i(x, s, \xi) \leq a_i(x, s), \quad i = 1, \ldots, I\right\}$$

and is bounded in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ uniformly with respect to $x \in \Omega$ and to s in a bounded set of $\mathbb{R}^m$. If $\varphi \in C^1_{piec}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^m)$ satisfies

$$F_i(x, \varphi(x), D\varphi(x)) < a_i(x, \varphi(x)), \quad a.e. \ x \in \Omega, \quad i = 1, \ldots, I,$$

then there exists (a dense set of) $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} F_i(x, u(x), Du(x)) = a_i(x, u(x)), & a.e. \ x \in \Omega, \quad i = 1, \ldots, I \\ u(x) = \varphi(x), & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The second result is (c.f. Theorem **??** and Remark **??**).

Theorem 1.10 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. Let $F_i : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$, $F_i = F_i(x, s, \xi)$, $i = 1, \ldots, I$, be continuous with respect to $(x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m$ and convex with respect to the last variable $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$.*
Assume that, for every $(x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$\text{Rco} \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : \ F_i(x, s, \xi) = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, I \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : \ F_i(x, s, \xi) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, I \right\}$$

and is bounded in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ uniformly with respect to $x \in \Omega$ and s in a bounded set of $\mathbb{R}^m$. Let $\varphi \in C^1_{piec}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^m)$ satisfy

$$F_i(x, \varphi(x), D\varphi(x)) < 0, \quad a.e. \ x \in \Omega, \quad i = 1, \ldots, I,$$

or $\varphi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ be such that

$$F_i(x, \varphi(x), D\varphi(x)) \leq -\theta, \quad a.e. \ x \in \Omega, \quad i = 1, \ldots, I,$$

for a certain $\theta > 0$.
Then there exists (a dense set of) $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} F_i(x, u(x), Du(x)) = 0, & a.e. \ x \in \Omega, \quad i = 1, \ldots, I \\ u(x) = \varphi(x), & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

1.2   Second and higher order cases

In fact, second order equations can be reduced to a system of first order equations and therefore the problems considered in this section are vectorial even though they might appear as if they were scalar.

Vectorial calculus of variations gives an interesting motivation to study second order *implicit* pdes. An example is proposed in Section 1.4.4, in the application to optimal design of an existence theorem for some second order implicit differential problem.

1.2.1   Dirichlet-Neumann boundary value problem

We consider second order equations (in Chapters **??** and **??** we will also deal with second order systems) of the form

$$F(x, u(x), Du(x), D^2u(x)) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{1.11}$$

where $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}_s \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. Since the matrix $D^2u(x)$ of the second derivatives is *symmetric*, then for every fixed $x \in \Omega$ this matrix is an element of the subset

$$\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}_s = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \ \xi = \xi^t \right\}$$

of the $n \times n$ matrices $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

We say that (1.11) is a second order partial differential equation of *implicit type*, since our hypotheses exclude that it is a quasilinear equation, i.e., it is not possible to write it as an equivalent equation which is linear with respect to the matrix of the second derivatives $D^2 u(x)$.

We can consider, for example, the equation

$$|\Delta u| = 1, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \tag{1.12}$$

together with a boundary datum $u = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$. Instead, we could simply solve the Dirichlet problem with the same boundary datum for the linear equation $\Delta u = 1$. But, the interesting fact is that, if we remain with the original nonlinear equation, then we can solve even a Dirichlet-Neumann problem of the type

$$\begin{cases} |\Delta u| = 1, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ u = \varphi, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \partial u / \partial \nu = \psi, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Independently of the differential equation, if a smooth function u is given on a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, then its tangential derivative is automatically determined. Therefore to prescribe Dirichlet and Neumann conditions at the same time is equivalent to give u and Du together.

This means that the Dirichlet-Neumann problem that we consider will be written, in the specific context of (1.12), under the form

$$\begin{cases} |\Delta u| = 1, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ u = \varphi, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ Du = D\varphi, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{1.13}$$

(note the compatibility condition that we have imposed on the boundary gradient to be equal to the gradient $D\varphi$ of the boundary datum φ; of course we assume that φ is defined all over $\overline{\Omega}$). In terms of Sobolev spaces the boundary condition is to be understood as $u - \varphi \in W_0^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$.

Returning to the equation (1.11), we will consider Dirichlet-Neumann problems in Chapter **??** of the form (1.14)

$$\begin{cases} F(x, u(x), Du(x), D^2 u(x)) = 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ u = \varphi, \quad Du = D\varphi, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.14}$$

We look for solutions u in the class $W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$ and in general we cannot expect that $u \in C^2(\Omega)$.

Before stating an existence theorem, we need to introduce the notion of coercivity in a rank one direction for the function F. We say that $F(x, s, p, \xi)$ is *coercive* with respect to the last variable ξ *in the rank one direction* λ, if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_s^{n \times n}$ with rank $\{\lambda\} = 1$, and for every $x \in \Omega$ and every bounded set of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_s^{n \times n}$, there exist constants $m, q > 0$, such that

$$F(x, s, p, \xi + t\lambda) \geq m |t| - q \tag{1.15}$$

for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \Omega$ and for every (s, p, ξ) that vary on the bounded set of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_s^{n \times n}$.

The function involved in (1.12), namely $F(\xi) = |\text{trace}(\xi)| - 1$, is indeed coercive in the rank one direction $e_1 \otimes e_1$ where

$$
e_1 \otimes e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

The theorem that we will obtain, following [112], is (c.f. Theorem **??**)

Theorem 1.11 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. Let $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_s^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function, convex with respect to the last variable and coercive in a rank one direction λ. Let $\varphi \in C_{piec}^2(\overline{\Omega})$ be such that*

$$
F\left(x, \varphi(x), D\varphi(x), D^2\varphi(x)\right) \le 0, \quad a.e. \ x \in \Omega \tag{1.16}
$$

or $\varphi \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfy, for a certain $\theta > 0$

$$
F\left(x, \varphi(x), D\varphi(x), D^2\varphi(x)\right) \le -\theta, \quad a.e. \ x \in \Omega.
$$

Then there exists (a dense set of) $u \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{cases} F(x, u(x), Du(x), D^2u(x)) = 0, & a.e. \ in \ \Omega \\ u = \varphi, \ Du = D\varphi, & on \ \partial\Omega. \end{cases}
$$

We need the compatibility condition (1.16) first to be sure that the function F is equal to zero somewhere (consequence of the compatibility condition and the coercivity assumption). More relevant, however is the implication by the convexity assumption through the Jensen inequality: in fact, for example, if we assume that the problem (1.14) without the lower order terms and with special boundary datum φ equal to a polynomial of degree two (i.e., $D^2\varphi(x) = \xi_0$ for some $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}_s^{n \times n}$ and for every $x \in \Omega$) has a solution $u \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$, then, since $F\left(D^2u\right) = 0$ a.e. in Ω and $Du = D\varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$, we obtain the necessary compatibility condition

$$
0 = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega F\left(D^2u(x)\right) \, dx \ge F\left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega D^2u(x) \, dx\right)
$$

$$
= F\left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega D^2\varphi(x) \, dx\right) = F(\xi_0) = F(D^2\varphi).
$$

1.2.2   Fully nonlinear partial differential equations

Let us make a remark related to the important case of second order elliptic *fully nonlinear* partial differential equations. The coercivity condition that

we will assume (c.f. (1.15)) prohibits the equations we consider here to be *elliptic* in the sense of Caffarelli-Nirenberg-Spruck [65], Crandall-Ishii-Lions [95], Evans [147], Trudinger [301]. To prove this claim we first recall that *ellipticity* of $F = F(\xi)$ where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}_s^{n \times n}$, means

$$F(\xi) < F(\xi + \eta), \quad \forall \, \eta \geq 0, \, \eta \neq 0, \tag{1.17}$$

where the notation $\eta \geq 0$ means that $\eta \in \mathbb{R}_s^{n \times n}$ is a positive semidefinite matrix (note that some authors use the same definition with F replaced by $-F$). If F is differentiable, it turns out (see for example Trudinger [301]) that (1.17) is equivalent to the *positivity* of the $n \times n$ matrix DF, that is

$$\sum_{ij} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_{ij}} \lambda_i \lambda_j > 0, \quad \forall \, (\lambda_i) \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}. \tag{1.18}$$

We now show that (1.18) *excludes* the coercivity of F in any rank one direction. In fact we have that

$$\sum_{ij} \frac{\partial F(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{ij}} \lambda_i \lambda_j = \left. \frac{d}{dt} F(\xi + t\lambda) \right|_{t=0}$$

where $\xi = (\xi_{ij})$ is a generic $n \times n$ matrix while $\lambda = (\lambda_i \lambda_j)$ is a generic $n \times n$ matrix of *rank one*. Therefore the condition (1.18) means that F is monotone in *all* directions λ of rank one; while coercivity in a rank one direction λ implies that F is *not* monotone in this direction.

1.2.3 Singular values

The preceding result can be extended to systems and we give here only one example (c.f. Theorem **??**). We recall that, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}_s^{n \times n}$, we denote by $0 \leq \lambda_1(\xi) \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n(\xi)$ its singular values, which are now, because of the symmetry of the matrix, the absolute value of the eigenvalues.

Theorem 1.12 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set, $a_i : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ be continuous bounded functions satisfying*

$$0 < c \leq a_1(x, s, p) \leq \ldots \leq a_n(x, s, p)$$

for some constant c and for every $(x, s, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\varphi \in C^2_{piec}(\overline{\Omega})$ be such that

$$\lambda_i\left(D^2 \varphi(x)\right) < a_i(x, \varphi(x), D\varphi(x)), \quad a.e. \ x \in \Omega, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n \tag{1.19}$$

(in particular $\varphi \equiv 0$). Then there exists (a dense set of) $u \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_i\left(D^2 u(x)\right) = a_i(x, u(x), Du(x)), \quad a.e. \ x \in \Omega, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n \\ u(x) = \varphi(x), \, Du(x) = D\varphi(x), \quad x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$
$$\tag{1.20}$$

As a consequence we find that the following Dirichlet-Neumann problem (1.21) admits a solution.

Corollary 1.13 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. Let $f : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that*

$$f(x, s, p) \geq f_0 > 0,$$

for some constant f_0 and for every $(x, s, p) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\varphi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ (or $C^2_{piec}(\overline{\Omega})$) satisfy

$$\left| \det D^2 \varphi(x) \right| < f(x, \varphi(x), D\varphi(x)), \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

Then there exists (a dense set of) $u \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \left| \det D^2 u(x) \right| = f(x, u(x), Du(x)), & a.e.\ x \in \Omega, \\ u = \varphi,\ Du = D\varphi, & on\ \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.21}$$

Observe that because of the Dirichlet-Neumann boundary data, the above problem cannot be handled as a corollary of the results on the Monge-Ampère equation.

1.2.4   Some extensions

The results on second order equations carry to higher order equations (c.f. Chapter 6). We give here only one example which concerns the *Nth order eikonal equation*. Let us first introduce the following notation for $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$; we let

$$D^N u = \left(\frac{\partial^N u}{\partial x_{j_1} \ldots \partial x_{j_N}} \right)_{1 \leq j_1, \ldots, j_N \leq n}$$

and

$$D^{[N-1]} u = \left(u, Du, \ldots, D^{N-1} u \right).$$

Finally $\mathbb{R}^M_s$ denotes the space where $D^{[N-1]}u$ lies (see Chapter 5 for more details).

Theorem 1.14 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. Let $a : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^M_s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be bounded and continuous and $\varphi \in C^N_{piec}(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy*

$$\left| D^N \varphi(x) \right| \leq a\left(x, D^{[N-1]} \varphi(x) \right), \quad a.e.\ x \in \Omega;$$

then there exists (a dense set of) $u \in W^{N,\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \left| D^N u(x) \right| = a\left(x, D^{[N-1]} u(x) \right), & a.e.\ x \in \Omega \\ D^\alpha u(x) = D^\alpha \varphi(x), & x \in \partial\Omega, \quad \alpha = 0, \ldots, N-1. \end{cases}$$

1.3   Different methods

There are, roughly speaking, three general methods to deal with the problems that we consider in this book and we will describe them briefly now. The third one will be the one used throughout this monograph. Of course for some particular examples there are some ad hoc methods; we think, for instance, of the *pyramidal construction* mentioned above (c.f. also Chapter 2) or of the *confocal ellipses construction* of Murat and Tartar [295] (c.f. Chapter 3 and for applications of this construction [107] and Section 1.4).

1.3.1   Viscosity solutions

The first method is the oldest and the one that has received the most attention. It deals essentially with scalar problems, although there are some results on some particular vectorial equations. We here discuss only viscosity solutions of first order equations since it is mainly in this case that the two methods, which will be discussed below, are comparable. The advantage over those two other methods is that it gives much more information than existence of solutions; for instance, uniqueness, stability, maximality and, last but not least, explicit formulas (such as the Hopf-Lax formula, which in the case of the eikonal equation will be given below). However, because of the many extra properties that it carries with it, the viscosity approach applies to many fewer equations than the two other methods that we will present below. The justification of this last statement is the purpose of Chapter 4 and will be briefly discussed now.

We recall that the problem under consideration is

$$\begin{cases} F\left(x, u\left(x\right), Du\left(x\right)\right) = 0, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ u\left(x\right) = \varphi\left(x\right), & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.22}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open set, $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and φ is a given function.

We should immediately point out that in this monograph we will be concerned only with viscosity solutions that are locally Lipschitz (the definition has been extended to functions that are even discontinuous) and that satisfy the boundary condition everywhere.

The notion of viscosity solution arose in the pde context by attempting to find solutions as limits of solutions of

$$\begin{cases} F\left(x, u^{\varepsilon}\left(x\right), Du^{\varepsilon}\left(x\right)\right) = \varepsilon \Delta u^{\varepsilon}\left(x\right), & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ u^{\varepsilon}\left(x\right) = \varphi\left(x\right), & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

when $\varepsilon \to 0$; hence the name of *viscosity solutions*. The concept of viscosity solution is now, following Crandall-Lions [96] and Crandall-Ishii-Lions [95], more general, and we will give the precise definition in Chapter 4. It turns out that in optimal control the value function of certain problems is a

viscosity solution of (1.22). For example if we consider the *eikonal equation*

$$\begin{cases} |Du(x)| = 1, & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ u = \varphi, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded, open and convex set of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the boundary datum $\varphi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfies the compatibility condition

$$|D\varphi(x)| \leq 1, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega,$$

we find that the viscosity solution is then given by

$$u(x) = \inf_{y \in \partial\Omega} \{\varphi(y) + |x - y|\},$$

which is, when $\varphi = 0$, nothing but the distance to the boundary, namely

$$u(x) = \text{dist}(x; \partial\Omega).$$

In Chapter 4 we will recall the definition of viscosity solutions, give some examples, properties, and discuss the Hopf-Lax formula. We do not intend to give any detailed presentation of this method; there are several excellent articles and books on this subject and we mention only a few of them: Bardi-Capuzzo Dolcetta [34], Barles [35], Benton [39], Capuzzo Dolcetta-Evans [67], Capuzzo Dolcetta-Lions [68], Crandall-Evans-Lions [94], Crandall-Ishii-Lions [95], Crandall-Lions [96], Douglis [137], Fleming-Soner [154], Frankowska [160], Hopf [188], Ishii [193], Kruzkov [208], Lax [211], Lions [218] and Subbotin [286].

We now come back, following Cardaliaguet-Dacorogna-Gangbo-Georgy [71], to the fact that, if we are only interested in existence of locally Lipschitz functions of (1.22), then the viscosity approach is too restrictive. To be more precise, we will discuss the case where F does not depend explicitly on x and u, namely

$$\begin{cases} F(Du(x)) = 0, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ u(x) = \varphi(x), & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.23}$$

We have seen in Theorem 1.4 that if

$$E = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ F(\xi) = 0\}$$

and if $\varphi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ is such that

$$D\varphi(x) \in E \cup \text{int co } E, \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \tag{1.24}$$

then (1.23) has a (dense set of) $W^{1,\infty}$ solutions (we recall that $\text{int co } E$ denotes the interior of the convex hull of E). This condition is close to necessary, therefore a natural question is to know whether, under this condition, a $W^{1,\infty}$ viscosity solution exists. We will show in Chapter 4 that

the answer is in general negative unless strong geometric restrictions on Ω and φ are assumed.

For instance, if we consider the example (c.f. Example 1.3)

$$\begin{cases} -\left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}\right)^2 - 1\right]^2 - \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}\right)^2 - 1\right]^2 = 0, & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ u = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{1.25}$$

then, since $0 \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{co} E$, we have by Theorem 1.4 that there are $W^{1,\infty}$ solutions of (1.25); but we will show (c.f. Theorem **??**) that, if Ω is convex, there is no $W^{1,\infty}$ *viscosity* solutions unless Ω is a rectangle whose normals are elements of $E = \{(\pm 1, \pm 1)\}$; in this case the viscosity solution will be

$$u\left(x_1, x_2\right) = \inf_{(y_1, y_2) \in \partial\Omega} \left\{|x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2|\right\}.$$

In particular, for any smooth domain (such as the unit disk) the Dirichlet problem (1.25) has no viscosity solution. This example shows also that the existence of viscosity solutions does not depend on the smoothness of the data (in the case where Ω is the unit disk, then all the data are analytic).

1.3.2 Convex integration

This method is due to Gromov [181] (see also the notion of *P-convexity* in Section 2.4.11 in the book by Gromov [182], where *partial differential relations* are considered). It was introduced for solving some problems of geometry and topology, in particular the Nash-Kuiper C^1 *isometric immersion theorem*. Gromov's method was developed essentially to get smooth solutions, although Lipschitz solutions are also considered in the context of isometric immersions. We refer to the book of Spring [283] for an other presentation of the method (see Chapter 9 of [283] for the treatment of *systems of partial differential equations*, where in particular *underdetermined systems*, *triangular systems* and C^1*-isometric immersions* are studied). We will discuss here only the first order case, but the method applies also to higher orders.

Müller-Sverak [249] (see also Celada-Perrotta [74], De Simone-Dolzmann [131]) have applied this method for solving the problem of two *potential wells* in two dimensions that we presented in (1.9). We now sketch their approach, which is more analytical in its presentation than the one of Gromov.

We first introduce the following notion. We say that a set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ admits an *in-approximation* by open sets U_i if the three following properties hold:

(i) $U_i \subset \operatorname{Rco} U_{i+1}$ ($\operatorname{Rco} U$ stands for the rank one convex hull of U defined above);

(ii) the U_i are uniformly bounded;

(iii) if a sequence $\xi_i \in U_i$ converges to ξ as $i \to \infty$, then $\xi \in K$.

A typical theorem (c.f. Müller-Sverak [249], [250]) that can be established is then the following.

Theorem 1.15 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set and $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ admit an in-approximation by open sets U_i. Let $\varphi \in C^1\left(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^m\right)$ such that*

$$D\varphi(x) \in U_1.$$

Then there exists $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} Du(x) \in K, & a.e. \ x \in \Omega \\ u(x) = \varphi(x), & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The difficulty rests on the fact that the sets K and U_i, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, are not convex, not even rank one convex. Thus, if u_i is a generic sequence of approximate solutions such that $Du_i(x) \in U_i$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, since by (ii) Du_i are uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$ then, up to a subsequence, u_i weakly* converges to a function u. However the weak* convergence is not enough to guarantee that $Du(x) \in K$, a.e. $x \in \Omega$, because, as already said, K is not a quasiconvex set.

The proof of the theorem is obtained instead by constructing an appropriate sequence u_i such that $Du_i(x) \in U_i$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and show *strong convergence* in $W^{1,1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ of this sequence to a solution u.

Of course a main difficulty is to find an *in-approximation*. The papers quoted above ([249], [74], [131]) deal with such a construction in some particular examples. We now present a typical result that can be obtained by this method. It concerns the problem of *two potential wells* in dimension two described in (1.9) (c.f. Theorem **??**).

Theorem 1.16 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be open. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ be two matrices such that $\operatorname{rank}\{A - B\} = 1$ and $\det B > \det A > 0$. Let $\varphi \in C^1_{piec}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfy*

$$D\varphi(x) \in \operatorname{int}\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} : \xi = \alpha R_a A + \beta R_b B, \ R_a, \ R_b \in SO(2), \\ 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\det B - \det \xi}{\det B - \det A}, \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{\det \xi - \det A}{\det B - \det A} \end{array} \right\},$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$. Then there exists $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} Du(x) \in SO(2)A \cup SO(2)B & a.e. \ in \ \Omega \\ u(x) = \varphi(x) & on \ \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The representation formula for the rank one convex hull is due to Sverak [289], while the theorem has been proved by Müller-Sverak [249], using convex integration, and by the authors (in [109], [111]), using the method presented in this book (c.f. Chapter 8).

1.3.3   The Baire category method

The approach that we will present can be characterized as a functional analytic method, in contrast with the more geometrical one of Gromov, although some constructions are very similar. It is based on the Baire category theorem. It was introduced by Cellina [76] to prove density (in the sense of the Baire category theorem) of solutions for the differential inclusion

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) \in \{-1, 1\}, & \text{a.e. } t > 0 \\ x(0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

The method, still for differential inclusions, was further developed by De Blasi-Pianigiani [125], [126] and by Bressan-Flores [55]. The authors of the present book, in a series of papers [108], [109], [110], [111] and [112], extended the method to the present framework.

We will now very roughly present the idea of the proof in the simplest case which is the one of Theorem 1.2. We recall that $\varphi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$F(x, \varphi(x), D\varphi(x)) \leq 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \tag{1.26}$$

and that we wish to show the existence of (a dense set of) $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} F(x, u(x), Du(x)) = 0, & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ u = \varphi, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.27}$$

We start by introducing the functional space

$$V = \left\{ u \in \varphi + W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega) : \ F(x, u(x), Du(x)) \leq 0, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \right\}$$

which in this particular case is the set of subsolutions of (1.27). Note also that V is nonempty since (1.26) holds. We next endow V with the C^0 metric. We claim that V is then a complete metric space. This follows from the coercivity and the convexity of F. Indeed the coercivity condition ensures that any Cauchy sequence in V has uniformly bounded gradient and therefore has a subsequence that converges weak* in $W^{1,\infty}$ to a limit. Since the convexity of F implies lower semicontinuity, we get that the limit is indeed in V.

We next introduce, for every integer k, the subset V^k of V

$$V^k = \left\{ u \in V : \ \int_\Omega F(x, u(x), Du(x))\, dx > -\frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

The same argument as above implies that V^k is *open* in V. The difficult step is then to show that V^k is *dense* in V; the proof of this property is in the spirit of the necessary conditions for weak lower semicontinuity and of relaxation theorems in the calculus of variations (c.f. below for some historical comments).

Once these results have been established, we can conclude from the *Baire category theorem* (see for example Brezis [57] or Yosida [306]) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underset{k}{\cap} V^k &= \left\{ u \in V : \int_\Omega F\left(x, u(x), Du\left(x\right)\right) dx \geq 0 \right\} \\
&= \left\{ u \in \varphi + W_0^{1,\infty}\left(\Omega\right) : \ F\left(x, u(x), Du\left(x\right)\right) = 0, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is dense, and hence nonempty, in V.

This is the outline of the proof of Theorem 1.2 and of the method used throughout this book.

The proof of the density resembles the *in-approximation* of the convex integration method outlined above, but for those familiar with the calculus of variations it looks, as mentioned above, much more like a *relaxation* type result, or the study of *necessary conditions* for weak lower semicontinuity (convexity in the scalar case and quasiconvexity in the vectorial case) which are well known since the pioneering work of Leonida Tonelli in 1921.

More precisely, the *convexity* of F, with respect to the gradient variable, as a necessary condition for weak lower semicontinuity in the scalar case $m = 1$, was first discovered by Tonelli ([299], Section 1 of Chapter X) for $n = 1$ and then obtained by Caccioppoli-Scorza Dragoni [64] for $n = 2$ and by McShane [220] for general $n \geq 1$ in the smooth case (see also the book by L.C. Young [307]); while Carathéodory functions F have been treated by Ekeland-Témam [142] and Marcellini-Sbordone [232]. Moreover Morrey [245] (see also Theorems 4.4.2 and 4.4.3 in the book by Morrey [246], the papers by Acerbi-Fusco [3], Marcellini [227] and the books by Dacorogna [101] and Giusti [178]) introduced the concept of *quasiconvexity* of F, with respect to the gradient variable, to prove that it is a necessary condition for weak lower semicontinuity in the vector-valued case $m > 1$. Finally, *relaxation* results of the integral of F, as appearing in (1.28), concern

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{either} \quad &\int_\Omega F^{**}\left(x, u(x), Du\left(x\right)\right) dx \quad \text{when } m = 1, \\
\text{or} \quad &\int_\Omega QF\left(x, u(x), Du\left(x\right)\right) dx \quad \text{if } m > 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where F^{**} and QF are respectively the *convex* and the *quasiconvex envelope* of F (c.f. Chapter 5). In this context when $m = 1$, we refer to Ekeland-Témam ([142], Chapter X), Marcellini-Sbordone [231], [232]; while if $m > 1$ we quote Dacorogna [100] [101] and Acerbi-Fusco [3] (see also some related results by Buttazzo-Dal Maso [62], Goffman-Serrin [179], Rockafellar [272], Serrin [281]).

To conclude, we should stress that the main reason for getting density of V^k in V is that the equations under consideration possess, locally, more than one solution. This is why linear and uniformly elliptic equations are excluded from our analysis.

1.4   Applications to the calculus of variations

Our first motivation for studying first order implicit equations, besides their intrinsic interest, comes from the calculus of variations. In this context, first order pdes have been intensively used, c.f. for example the monographs of Carathéodory [69], Giaquinta-Hildebrandt [173] and Rund [275].

We start with a heuristic consideration, explaining the link between the existence of minimizers of integrals of the calculus of variations and first order implicit differential equations. Let $\overline{u} : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $n, m \geq 1$, be a minimizer in a Sobolev class of functions of an integral of the calculus of variations of the form

$$\int_\Omega f\left(x, u(x), Du(x)\right) \, dx \ . \tag{1.28}$$

Then, if f is not quasiconvex with respect to the gradient variable, direct methods do not apply. In this case we denote by Qf the *quasiconvex envelope* of f (c.f. Chapter 5), i.e.,

$$Qf\left(x, s, \xi\right) = \sup\left\{g\left(x, s, \xi\right) : \ g \leq f, \ \ g\left(x, s, \xi\right) \ \text{quasiconvex in } \xi\right\} .$$

In the scalar case, when $m = 1$, then $Qf = f^{**}$ is the classical convex envelope of f (see for example Ekeland-Témam [142] and Rockafellar [273]).

A general relaxation theorem (due to Dacorogna [100] [101] and to Acerbi-Fusco [3], who extended to the vector-valued case a result proved in the scalar case by Ekeland-Témam [142] and Marcellini-Sbordone [232]) states that, in the given class of functions,

$$\inf\left\{\int_\Omega f\left(x, u(x), Du(x)\right) \, dx\right\} = \inf\left\{\int_\Omega Qf\left(x, u(x), Du(x)\right) \, dx\right\} .$$

Therefore any minimizer $\overline{u}$ of the integral in (1.28) satisfies

$$\int_\Omega f\left(x, \overline{u}(x), D\overline{u}(x)\right) \, dx \ = \ \int_\Omega Qf\left(x, \overline{u}(x), D\overline{u}(x)\right) \, dx \ ,$$

which implies, since $f \geq Qf$, that

$$f\left(x, \overline{u}(x), D\overline{u}(x)\right) = Qf\left(x, \overline{u}(x), D\overline{u}(x)\right), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega. \tag{1.29}$$

This is a first order equation for $\overline{u}$ which holds almost everywhere in Ω.

We will show below that (1.29) can be fitted into our general theory of first order implicit differential equations and systems. We will also show that, in the vector-valued case $m > 1$, we are led in some cases to study implicit partial differential equations of order N greater than 1.

These heuristic considerations can be made precise, in the form of theorems, in some special cases; see in particular Theorems 1.17 and 1.18 below.

1.4.1   Some bibliographical notes

As already mentioned above, we will briefly describe some problems in the *calculus of variations* which may or may not have a solution, depending on the context and on the assumptions. The main characteristic of the variational problems that we consider in this section is the *lack of convexity* (even the lack of *quasiconvexity* in the vector-valued case $m > 1$) of the integrand with respect to the gradient variable. We will study some model problems of this type in the next subsections.

We follow (in particular for the vector-valued case) the authors' approach in [107], [114], although we recall that the mathematical literature on this subject is broad, a large part of it being dedicated to the one dimensional scalar case $n = m = 1$, the vectorial case $n, m > 1$ being at the moment understood only in special situations. We quote for example: Allaire-Francfort [9], Aubert-Tahraoui [21], [22], [23], [24], Ball-James [31], [32], Bauman-Phillips [36], Buttazzo-Ferone-Kawohl [63], Celada-Perrotta [75], Cellina [77], [78], Cellina-Colombo [79], Cellina-Zagatti [82], [81], Cesari [84], [85], Chipot-Kinderlehrer [86], Cutrì[98], Dacorogna [99], [101], Dacorogna-Marcellini [107], Ekeland-Témam [142], Firoozye-Kohn [153], Fonseca-Tartar   [158],   Fusco-Marcellini-Ornelas   [165], Friesecke   [162], Giachetti-Schianchi [171], Kinderlehrer-Pedregal [200], Kohn [204], Kohn-Strang [205], Marcellini [224], [225], [226], [230], Mascolo [235], Mascolo-Schianchi [238], [239], [240], Monteiro Marques-Ornelas [244], Müller [248], Müller-Sverak [249], Olech [256], Ornelas [259], Raymond [265], [266], [267], [268], Sverak [289], Sychev [290], Tahraoui [292], [293], Treu [300], Zagatti [309].

1.4.2   The variational problem

Similar to the first part of this section, we could study integrals of $f(x, u(x), Du(x))$, related to a function f depending on x and $u(x)$ too. However we have chosen to consider here (and below in this section) only dependence on the gradient variable $Du(x)$ as in (1.30), with the aim of proposing the variational problem in the simplest context. It would be of interest to generalize these results to a wider class of integrals with $f = f(x, u(x), Du(x))$, and in fact some partial results have been already obtained in the literature on this subject quoted in the previous subsection.

Let Ω be a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 1$). In general we will consider a variational problem related to vector-valued unknown functions $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $m \geq 1$, and to an integrand $f : \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ that we assume to be lower semicontinuous in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, not necessarily convex, and satisfying the condition $f(\xi) \geq c_1 |\xi|^p - c_2$ for some constants $c_1 > 0$, $c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p > 1$. The variational problem that we study is: to minimize the functional

integral

$$\int_\Omega f\left(Du(x)\right)\,dx \qquad (1.30)$$

in the class of vector-valued functions

$$u \in u_0 + W_0^{1,p}\left(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m\right),$$

where $u_0 \in W^{1,p}\left(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m\right)$ is a given boundary datum.

Because of the lack of quasiconvexity of f, the integral functional in (1.30) is not lower semicontinuous in the weak topology of $W^{1,p}\left(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m\right)$. Thus it is not possible to apply the *direct methods* (based on lower semicontinuity and on the relative compactness of minimizing sequences in the weak topology of $W^{1,p}\left(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m\right)$) in order to obtain the existence of the minimum. Nevertheless the integral functional in (1.30) may have a minimum in spite of the lack of (quasi)convexity.

In the next subsection we first consider the (nonconvex) scalar case $m = 1$, and we give some sufficient conditions (which are also necessary in some cases) to obtain the existence of the minimum; under some assumptions we will find solutions in the class $u \in W^{1,\infty}\left(\Omega\right)$, i.e., with $p = +\infty$.

In the last subsection we study an application to *optimal design* in the vector-valued case. We note explicitly that nonconvex (and even not quasiconvex) variational problems in the vector-valued case are far from being solved in a general context.

1.4.3 The scalar case

In general we can lack solutions for a *nonconvex* variational problem. Well known is the classical example of Bolza (see Section 2.5) in the one dimensional scalar case $n = m = 1$ for integrals of $f\left(u, u'\right)$ (note that, when $n = 1$, then the dependence of the integrand f on u, other than u', is necessary to exhibit examples of lack of attainment of minima of coercive integrals). Other examples for $n > 1$ are proposed in Section 2.5.

Here we consider a bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ for some $n \geq 2$. Let us also assume that Ω is a *uniformly convex set*, in the sense that there exists a positive constant c and, for every $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, a hyperplane π_{x_0} containing x_0 such that

$$\text{dist}\left(x; \pi_{x_0}\right) \geq c \cdot \left|x - x_0\right|^2 , \quad \forall\, x \in \partial\Omega\,.$$

Note that, for every $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, π_{x_0} is a *supporting hyperplane*, i.e., it is a hyperplane passing through x_0 and leaving the set Ω on one of the two half spaces delimited by π_{x_0}. A ball is a uniformly convex set.

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a lower semicontinuous function, not necessarily convex, bounded from below. Let us denote by f^{**} the largest convex function

which is less than or equal to f on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We assume that f^{**} is *affine* on the (open) set A, where $f \neq f^{**}$, i.e., there exist $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} f^{**}(\xi) = \langle \eta; \xi \rangle + q, & \forall\, \xi \in A = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(\xi) > f^{**}(\xi)\}, \\ f^{**}(\xi) = f(\xi), & \forall\, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n - A. \end{cases}$$

We also assume that A is bounded (for more general assumptions see Theorem **??**). Then, in Chapter 2, we will prove the following existence result.

Theorem 1.17 *Under the stated assumptions, for every boundary datum $u_0 \in C^2\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)$, the integral*

$$\int_\Omega f\left(Du(x)\right)\, dx \tag{1.31}$$

has a minimizer in the class of functions $u \in u_0 + W_0^{1,\infty}\left(\Omega\right)$.

The proof starts with the minimization of the associated *relaxed* variational problem related to the integral over Ω of $f^{**}\left(Du(x)\right)$. If we denote by u^{**} a minimizer of the relaxed problem, then we are led to solve the differential problem

$$\begin{cases} Du\left(x\right) \in \partial A, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega' \\ u\left(x\right) = u^{**}\left(x\right), & x \in \partial\Omega', \end{cases} \tag{1.32}$$

where Ω' is a suitable *open* subset of Ω. Moreover, the boundary datum u^{**} in (1.32) satisfies the compatibility condition

$$Du^{**}(x) \in A \subset \text{int co}\, \partial A, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega'.$$

We can apply Theorem 1.6 (c.f. Theorem **??**) with $E = \partial A$ and obtain the existence of a function $u \in W^{1,\infty}\left(\Omega'\right)$ which solves (1.32). This function u, extended equal to u^{**} out of Ω', is a minimizer of the integral in (1.31) in the class $u_0 + W_0^{1,\infty}\left(\Omega\right)$. Further details of the proof can be found in Section 2.5.

Theorem 1.17 is specific for the scalar case $n \geq 2$ and it generalizes similar results obtained by Marcellini [225], Mascolo-Schianchi [238], [239], [240], Mascolo [235], Cellina [77] and Friesecke [162]. Theorem 1.17 has been recently proved by Sychev [290] in the form presented here (see also Zagatti [309]). In particular Mascolo-Schianchi pointed out the condition of affinity of the function f^{**} on the set where $f \neq f^{**}$, while Cellina and Friesecke proved the necessity of this condition of affinity for linear boundary data u_0.

1.4.4   Application to optimal design in the vector-valued case

Following Kohn-Strang [205], we consider the two dimensional case $n = 2$ and $m = 2$ (here for simplicity we limit ourselves to $m = 2$; see [107] and

Kohn-Strang [205] for a discussion of the case $m > 2$; see also Allaire-Francfort [9] for the case $n, m \geq 2$). More explicitly we consider a variational problem in *optimal design*, related to the lower semicontinuous (non-convex) function $f : \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$f(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 + |\xi|^2 & \text{if } \xi \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \xi = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.33}$$

Kohn-Strang computed in [205] the quasiconvex envelope $Qf : \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \to \mathbb{R}$ of f (Qf is the largest quasiconvex function on $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ less than or equal to f; c.f. Chapter 5). It turns out that Qf is given by

$$Qf(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 + |\xi|^2 & \text{if } |\xi|^2 + 2|\det \xi| \geq 1 \\ 2\sqrt{|\xi|^2 + 2|\det \xi|} - 2|\det \xi| & \text{if } |\xi|^2 + 2|\det \xi| < 1. \end{cases} \tag{1.34}$$

We consider a bounded open set Ω of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and a boundary datum u_0 linear in Ω, with $\det Du_0 \neq 0$ and, just to consider one case, we assume that $\det Du_0 > 0$. To avoid the trivial situation $Qf(Du_0) = f(Du_0)$, we also assume that u_0 satisfies the condition

$$|Du_0|^2 + 2|\det Du_0| < 1. \tag{1.35}$$

Finally, we assume that Du_0 is a *symmetric* 2×2 matrix. This implies that there exists φ, polynomial of degree 2, such that

$$u_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_x \\ \varphi_y \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with} \quad \det D^2 \varphi(x) = \det Du_0 > 0.$$

By considering explicitly the components of $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$, a generic function with $\det Du \geq 0$, we have

$$Du = \begin{pmatrix} u_x^1 & u_y^1 \\ u_x^2 & u_y^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |Du|^2 + 2|\det Du| = (u_x^1 + u_y^2)^2 + (u_y^1 - u_x^2)^2.$$

A crucial step in the resolution of the variational problem that we consider here, related to the integrand f in (1.33), is obtained by restricting ourselves to vector-valued functions u which are gradients of functions $v \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$; i.e., $u = \begin{pmatrix} u^1 \\ u^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_x \\ v_y \end{pmatrix}$; thus we obtain

$$Du = \begin{pmatrix} v_{xx} & v_{xy} \\ v_{xy} & v_{yy} \end{pmatrix}, \quad |Du|^2 + 2|\det Du| = (v_{xx} + v_{yy})^2 = (\Delta v)^2. \tag{1.36}$$

The compatibility condition (1.35) on the boundary datum φ becomes

$$\varphi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \Delta \varphi(x) < 1, \; \det D^2 \varphi(x) > 0. \tag{1.37}$$

By applying Theorem **??** of Chapter 3 with $a = 0$ and $b = 1$, we can find $w \in \varphi + W_0^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta w(x) \in \{0, 1\}, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ \det D^2 w(x) \geq 0, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \qquad (1.38)$$

Since either $\Delta w = 0$ or $\Delta w = 1$, a.e. in Ω, by (1.34), (1.36) we obtain

$$Qf\left(D^2 w(x)\right) = f\left(D^2 w(x)\right), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

Then, as stated in Theorem 1.18, we can easily prove (see Section 3.3.3 for more details) that the function $\overline{u} = \begin{pmatrix} w_x \\ w_y \end{pmatrix}$ is a minimizer of the integral $\int_\Omega f\left(Du\left(x\right)\right) dx$ in the class of functions $u \in W^{1,\infty}\left(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2\right)$ such that $u = u_0 = D\varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$.

Theorem 1.18 *Let Ω be a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let $u_0 : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a linear boundary datum, such that Du_0 is a constant symmetric 2×2 matrix satisfying the conditions*

$$0 < \operatorname{trace} Du_0 < 1, \quad \det Du_0 > 0.$$

Let f be defined in (1.33). Then the nonconvex variational problem

$$\min\left\{\int_\Omega f\left(Du\left(x\right)\right) dx : \quad u \in W^{1,\infty}\left(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2\right), \quad u = u_0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\right\}$$

has a solution $\overline{u} \in u_0 + W_0^{1,\infty}\left(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2\right)$. Moreover there exists $w \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfying (1.38) such that $\overline{u} = Dw$.

1.5   Some unsolved problems

In this section we propose some open problems that are related to the material of this book.

1.5.1   Selection criterion

The Baire category approach, as well as the convex integration method, are purely "existential" contrary to the viscosity method, which in the convex scalar case gives, among other properties, uniqueness.

A natural question, particularly in the vectorial context, is the choice, among the many solutions, of a special one.

In some scalar cases the viscosity solution is the pointwise maximal (or minimal) solution among all Lipschitz ones. Another characterization of viscosity solutions is by passing to the limit, using the maximum principle,

in some elliptic regularized problems; indeed this is the historical approach. The maximum principle and the notion of maximality are not clearly defined for vectors.

The question is whether one can find a simple criterion of selection in the vectorial case or, incidentally, in the scalar case when there is no viscosity solution. The selection of one special solution is, of course, of importance also for numerical purposes.

1.5.2   Measurable Hamiltonians

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} F(x, u(x), Du(x)) = 0, & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ u = \varphi, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.39}$$

where $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function $F = F(x, s, \xi)$, i.e., F is measurable in x and continuous in (s, ξ).

The question is: does there exist $W^{1,\infty}$ solutions of (1.39)?

In this book we consider *continuous* functions F. Almost the same proofs could handle *semicontinuity* with respect to x but not general measurability. This problem also arises in the viscosity context, even for the eikonal equation

$$\begin{cases} |Du(x)| = a(x), & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ u = \varphi, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{1.40}$$

(see for example Newcomb-Su [255] for bounded *lower semicontinuous* functions a).

The same problem can be posed either in the vectorial context, or for systems, or for higher order equations.

1.5.3   Lipschitz boundary data

Most of our *vectorial* existence theorems require the boundary datum to be C^1 or piecewise C^1 (C^N in the Nth order case). Only those involving convex sets (c.f. Theorem 1.8, 1.10 and 1.11) allow for $W^{1,\infty}$ data ($W^{N,\infty}$ in the Nth order case), with in addition a compactness inclusion.

The question is: can we treat $W^{1,\infty}$ compatibility conditions? In the scalar case this can be achieved, c.f. Theorem 1.4.

1.5.4   Approximation of Lipschitz functions by smooth functions

Related to the previous question is the following one concerning approximation of $W^{1,\infty}$ functions by either smooth functions or piecewise affine ones, under some constraints. Before formulating precisely the problem, we

start with the scalar case. In Corollary **??** we prove that given open sets $\Omega, A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and a function $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ with

$$Du(x) \in A, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega,$$

there exists a function $v \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} v \text{ is piecewise affine on } \Omega; \\ v = u \text{ on } \partial\Omega; \\ \|v - u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \varepsilon; \\ Dv(x) \in A, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.41}$$

Can this be done in the vectorial case? A similar question can be asked for approximation by smooth functions instead of piecewise affine ones. We achieve this, in the vectorial context, (c.f. Corollary **??**) only when A is convex and Du is compactly contained in the interior of A.

1.5.5 Extension of Lipschitz functions and compatibility conditions

When solving, for example, a problem of the form

$$\begin{cases} Du(x) \in E, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ u(x) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

we require that the boundary datum $\varphi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$D\varphi(x) \in E \cup \operatorname{int} \overline{\operatorname{co} E}, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega,$$

or, in the vectorial case (with some extra hypotheses),

$$D\varphi(x) \in E \cup \operatorname{int} \overline{\operatorname{Qco} E}, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

Of course it is, a priori, not completely natural to ask that the boundary datum φ be defined on the whole of $\overline{\Omega}$; one should give necessary and/or sufficient conditions only in terms of values of φ given on the boundary $\partial\Omega$. This can be achieved (c.f. Section 2.4) when φ is scalar; for example, for the eikonal equation (when the domain Ω is convex)

$$\begin{cases} |Du(x)| = 1, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ u(x) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in \partial\Omega; \end{cases}$$

the condition is the Lipschitz continuity of φ with constant 1, i.e.,

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq |x - y|, \quad \forall\, x, y \in \partial\Omega.$$

However in the vectorial case, it is an open problem to give necessary and/or sufficient conditions only in terms of values of φ on the boundary $\partial\Omega$, except in some special cases; c.f. Kirszbraun theorem (Theorem 2.10.43 in Federer [151]).

1.5.6 Existence under quasiconvexity assumption

We have already pointed out that the natural condition to solve

$$\begin{cases} Du\,(x) \in E, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \\ u\,(x) = \varphi\,(x)\,, & x \in \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

could be

$$D\varphi\,(x) \in E \cup \operatorname{int} \overline{\operatorname{Qco} E},\ \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega. \tag{1.42}$$

In the present book we are able to do this only under further assumptions on the quasiconvex hull of E; in particular we require the so-called relaxation property which is, in general, difficult to verify. The question is therefore to know if (1.42) is sufficient for existence.

1.5.7 Problems with constraints

We start by mentioning one case which might be relevant to nonlinear elasticity, although the question of constraints is more general.

Given $\varphi \in W^{1,\infty}\,(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} F(x, \varphi(x), D\varphi(x)) \le 0, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ \det D\varphi(x) > 0, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.43}$$

with some appropriate hypotheses on F, we ask if we can find a function $u \in W^{1,\infty}\,(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} F(x, u(x), Du(x)) = 0, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ \det Du(x) > 0, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = \varphi(x), & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.44}$$

We achieve this result (c.f. Theorem **??**; see also (1.38)) in a particular case of second order equations.

A similar question arises if we assume that

$$\begin{cases} F(x, \varphi(x), D\varphi(x)) \le 0, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ \det D\varphi(x) = 1, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega; \end{cases} \tag{1.45}$$

in this case we look for a function $u \in W^{1,\infty}\,(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} F(x, u(x), Du(x)) = 0, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ \det Du(x) = 1, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = \varphi(x), & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.46}$$

In a more general context, under appropriate compatibility conditions on the boundary datum φ, the question is to find a map $u \in W^{1,\infty}\,(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} F(x, u(x), Du(x)) = 0, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ G(x, u(x), Du(x)) < 0, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = \varphi(x), & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

A similar question arises if we replace the constraint with strict inequality by either $G(x, u, Du) \leq 0$ or by $G(x, u, Du) = 0$.

The problem (1.46) can be considered as a case where

$$\text{int } \overline{\text{Qco } E} = \emptyset.$$

This phenomenon also happens in the linear (or quasilinear) case. For example, second order problems can be considered as first order systems with the linear constraints

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n;$$

consequently second order equations, when seen as first order systems, have int $\overline{\text{Qco } E} = \emptyset$. The last one is a case already solved in this book.

1.5.8   Potential wells

The problem of *potential wells* is described in Chapter 8 (see also Section 1.1.3). Under the notation of Chapter 8, the problem of potential wells consists in finding a function $u \in \varphi + W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, satisfying the differential problem (the N *wells* are $SO(n) A_i$, $1 \leq i \leq N$)

$$\begin{cases} Du(x) \in E = \bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{N} SO(n) A_i \\ u(x) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.47}$$

The problem has been solved when $N = 2$ (i.e., *two potential wells*) and $n = 2$ (i.e., *dimension two*). The question is: can problem (1.47) be solved when $N \geq 3$ and/or $n \geq 3$?

The problem is already at the algebraic level of computing the rank one convex hull.

1.5.9   Calculus of variations

A question in the *scalar case* is: can Theorem 1.17 be generalized to integrands f which also depend on (x, u), searching more generally for $W^{1,p}$ solutions?

In the *vectorial case*, can we give a sufficiently general class of nonquasiconvex functions for which there is attainment of the minimum?

For example, when $n = m \geq 2$, integrals of the calculus of variations related to functions of the form

$$f(\xi) = g(\det \xi),$$

even with g not convex, are relatively well understood (c.f. [107]). However, for $n, m \geq 2$, functions of the type

$$f(\xi) = g\left(|\xi|\right),$$

with g not convex, are treated only in some particular cases, such as the one of Theorem 1.18. See also [107] for some necessary conditions.

Relevant functions for applications, which combine the two previous cases, when $n = m \geq 2$ are of the form

$$f(\xi) = g\left(|\xi|, \det \xi\right). \tag{1.48}$$

If g is not convex, the question is to find sufficient conditions on g to obtain minimizers of the related integral.

In particular, the phenomenon of *cavitation* in nonlinear elasticity (introduced by Ball [28]) enter in this context. Realistic mathematical assumptions for the problem of cavitation, related to a nonconvex function g in (1.48), have been introduced and studied by Marcellini [229], [230] (see also Section 2.6.3, Volume 2, of the recent book by Giaquinta, Modica and Soucek [176]). The existence of minimizers under realistic assumptions is still an open problem.

References

[1] Acerbi E. and Buttazzo G., Semicontinuous envelopes of polyconvex integrals, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh* **96 A** (1984), 51–54.

[2] Acerbi E., Buttazzo G. and Fusco N., Semicontinuity and relaxation for integrals depending on vector valued functions, *Journal Math. Pures et Appl.* **62** (1983), 371–387.

[3] Acerbi E. and Fusco N., Semicontinuity problems in the calculus of variations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **86** (1984), 125–145.

[4] Adams R.A., *Sobolev spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.

[5] Alberti G., Ambrosio L. and Cannarsa P., On the singularities of convex functions, *Manuscripta Math.* **76** (1992), 421–435.

[6] Alberti G. and Bellettini G., A nonlocal anisotropic model for phase transitions, I: the optimal profile problem, *Math. Annalen* **310** (1998), 527–560.

[7] Alberti G. and Bellettini G., A nonlocal anisotropic model for phase transitions, II: asymptotic behaviour of rescaled energies, *European J. Appl. Math.* **9** (1998), 261–284.

[8] Alibert J.J. and Dacorogna B., An example of a quasiconvex function that is not polyconvex in two dimensions, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **117** (1992), 155–166.

34   References

[9] Allaire G. and Francfort G., Existence of minimizers for nonquasiconvex functionals arising in optimal design, *Annales Institut Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire* **15** (1998), 301–339.

[10] Alpern S., New proofs that weak mixing is generic, *Inventiones Math.* **32** (1976), 263–279.

[11] Alvino A., Lions P.L. and Trombetti G., Comparison results for elliptic and parabolic equations via Schwarz symmetrization, *Annales Institut Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire* **7** (1990), 37–65.

[12] Ambrosetti A. and Prodi G., On the inversion of some differentiable mappings with singularities between Banach spaces, *Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl.* **93** (1972), 231–247.

[13] Ambrosio L., New lower semicontinuity results for integral functionals, *Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL* **11** (1987), 1–42.

[14] Ambrosio L., Cannarsa P. and Soner H.M., On the propagation of singularities of semi-convex functions, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **20** (1993), 597–616.

[15] Ambrosio L., Fonseca I., Marcellini P. and Tartar L., On a volume constrained variational problem, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.,* to appear.

[16] Ambrosio L., Fusco N. and Pallara D., *Special functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems*, Oxford University Press, to appear.

[17] Antman S.S., The influence of elasticity on analysis: modern developments, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1983), 267–291.

[18] Antman S.S., *Nonlinear problems of elasticity*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[19] Attouch H., *Variational convergence of functionals and operators*, Pitman, London, 1984.

[20] Aubert G., On a counterexample of a rank one convex function which is not polyconvex in the case $N = 2$, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh* **106A** (1987), 237–240.

[21] Aubert G. and Tahraoui R., Théorèmes d'existence pour des problèmes du calcul des variations, *J. Differential Equations* **33** (1979), 1–15.

[22] Aubert G. and Tahraoui R., Sur la minimisation d'une fonctionelle nonconvexe, non differentiable en dimension 1, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* **17** (1980), 244–258.

[23] Aubert G. and Tahraoui R., Sur quelques résultats d'existence en optimisation non convexe, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* **297** (1983), 287–289.

[24] Aubert G. and Tahraoui R., Théorèmes d'existence en optimisation non convexe, *Appl. Anal.* **18** (1984), 75–100.

[25] Aubert G. and Tahraoui R., Sur la faible fermeture de certains ensembles de contraintes en élasticité non linéaire plane, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **97** (1987), 33–58.

[26] Aubin J.P. and Cellina A., *Differential inclusions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.

[27] Ball J.M., Convexity conditions and existence theorems in nonlinear elasticity, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **63** (1977), 337–403.

[28] Ball J.M., Discontinuous equilibrium solutions and cavitation in nonlinear elasticity, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London* **306** (1982), 557–611.

[29] Ball J.M., Differentiability properties of symmetric and isotropic functions, *Duke Mathematical J.* **51** (1984), 699–728.

[30] Ball J.M., Curie J.C. and Olver P.J., Null Lagrangians, weak continuity and variational problems of arbitrary order, *J. Functional Analysis* **41** (1981), 135–174.

[31] Ball J.M. and James R.D., Fine phase mixtures as minimizers of energy, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **100** (1987), 15–52.

[32] Ball J.M. and James R.D., Proposed experimental tests of a theory of fine microstructure and the two wells problem, *Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London A* **338** (1991), 389–450.

[33] Banyaga A., Formes volume sur les variétés à bord, *Enseignement Math.* **20** (1974), 1–35.

[34] Bardi M. and Capuzzo Dolcetta I., *Optimal control and viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997.

[35] Barles G., *Solutions de viscosité des équations de Hamilton-Jacobi*, Mathématiques et Applications 17, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

[36] Bauman P. and Phillips D., A nonconvex variational problem related to change of phase, *J. Appl. Math. Optimization* **21** (1990), 113–138.

[37] Bellman R., *Introduction to matrix analysis*, Mac Graw-Hill, New York, 1960.

[38] Benci V. and Cerami G., Positive solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems in exterior domains, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **99** (1987), 283–300.

[39] Benton S.H., *The Hamilton-Jacobi equation. A global approach*, Academic Press, New-York, 1977.

[40] Berkowitz L.D., Lower semicontinuity of integral functionals, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **192** (1974), 51–57.

[41] Berliocchi H. and Lasry J.M., Intégrandes normales et mesures paramétrées en calcul des variations, *Bulletin Société Math. de France* **101** (1973), 129-184.

[42] Bhattacharya K., Firoozye N.B., James R.D. and Kohn R.V., Restrictions on microstructure, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh* **124A** (1994), 843–878.

[43] Bliss G., *Lectures on the calculus of variations*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1951.

[44] Boccardo L. and Dacorogna B., Coercivity of integrals versus coercivity of integrands, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **189** (1995), 607–616.

[45] Boccardo L., Ferone V., Fusco N. and Orsina L., Regularity of minimizing sequences for functionals of the calculus of variations via the Ekeland principle, *Differential Integral Equations*, to appear.

[46] Boccardo L., Marcellini P. and Sbordone C., Regularity for variational problems with sharp nonstandard growth conditions, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* **4-A** (1990), 219–225.

[47] Boccardo L. and Orsina L., Existence and regularity of minima for integral functionals noncoercive in the energy space, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **25** (1997), 95–130.

[48] Bolza O., *Lectures on the calculus of variations*, Chelsea Public. Company, New-York, 1951.

[49] Bombieri E., Variational problems and elliptic equations (Hilbert's problem 20), Proc. Symposia Pure Math., Vol. 28, F.E. Browder ed., American Math. Society, 1976, 525–535.

[50] Bouchitté G., Buttazzo G. and Fragalà I., Mean curvature of a measure and related variational problems, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **25** (1997), 179–196.

[51] Bouchitté G., Fonseca I. and Maly J., The effective bulk energy of the relaxed energy of multiple integrals below the growth exponent, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **128** (1998), 463–479.

[52] Braides A., Dal Maso G. and Garroni A., Variational formulation of softening phenomena in fracture mechanics: the one-dimensional case, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.,* to appear.

[53] Braides A. and Defranceschi A., *Homogenization of Multiple Integrals*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998.

[54] Brandi P. and Salvadori A., On lower semicontinuity in BV setting, *J. Convex Analysis* **1** (1994), 152–172.

[55] Bressan A. and Flores F., On total differential inclusions, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **92** (1994), 9–16.

[56] Brezis H., *Opérateurs maximaux monotones*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.

[57] Brezis H., *Analyse fonctionnelle, théorie et applications*, Masson, Paris, 1983.

[58] Brezis H. and Nirenberg L., Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **36** (1983), 437–477.

[59] Browder F.E., Remarks on the direct methods of the calculus of variations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **20** (1965), 251–258.

[60] Buttazzo G., *Semicontinuity, relaxation and integral represention in the calculus of variations*, Pitman Research Notes, Longman, 1989.

[61] Buttazzo G., Dacorogna B. and Gangbo W., On the envelopes of functions depending on singular values of matrices, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* **8B** (1994), 17–35.

[62] Buttazzo G. and Dal Maso G., A characterization of nonlinear functionals on Sobolev spaces which admit an integral representation with a Carathéodory integrand, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **64** (1985), 337–361.

[63] Buttazzo G., Ferone V. and Kawohl B., Minimum problems over sets of concave functions and related questions, *Math. Nachrichten* **173** (1995), 71–89.

[64] Caccioppoli R. and Scorza Dragoni G., Necessità della condizione di Weierstrass per la semicontinuità di un integrale doppio sopra una data superficie, *Memorie Acc. d'Italia* **9** (1938), 251–268.

[65] Caffarelli L., Nirenberg L. and Spruck J., The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second order elliptic equations, I: Monge-Ampère equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **37** (1984), 369–402.

38   References

[66] Cannarsa P., Mennucci A., and Sinestrari C.: Regularity results for solutions of a class of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **140** (1997), 197–223.

[67] Capuzzo Dolcetta I. and Evans L.C., Optimal switching for ordinary differential equations, *SIAM J. Optim. Control* **22** (1988), 1133–1148.

[68] Capuzzo Dolcetta I. and Lions P.L., Viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations and state constraint problem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **318** (1990), 643–683.

[69] Carathéodory C., *Calculus of variations and partial differential equations of the first order*, Holden Day, San Francisco, 1965.

[70] Carbone L. and Sbordone C., Some properties of Γ-limits of integral functionals, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **122** (1979), 1–60.

[71] Cardaliaguet P., Dacorogna B., Gangbo W. and Georgy N., Geometric restrictions for the existence of viscosity solutions, *Annales Institut Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire* **16** (1999), 189–220.

[72] Carriero M., Leaci A. and Tomarelli F., Strong solution for an elastic-plastic plate, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **2** (1994), 219–240.

[73] Celada P. and Dal Maso G., Further remarks on the lower semicontinuity of polyconvex integrals, *Annales Institut Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire* **11** (1994), 661–691.

[74] Celada P. and Perrotta S., Functions with prescribed singular values of the gradient, *Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* **5** (1998), 383–396.

[75] Celada P. and Perrotta S., Minimizing nonconvex, multiple integrals: a density result, Preprint SISSA, 1998.

[76] Cellina A., On the differential inclusion $x' \in \{-1, 1\}$, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.* **69** (1980), 1–6.

[77] Cellina A., On minima of a functional of the gradient: necessary conditions, *Nonlinear Analysis* **20** (1993), 337–341.

[78] Cellina A., On minima of a functional of the gradient, sufficient conditions, *Nonlinear Analysis* **20** (1993), 343–347.

[79] Cellina A. and Colombo G., On a classical problem of the calculus of variations without convexity conditions, *Annales Institut Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire* **7** (1990), 97–106.

[80] Cellina A. and Perrotta S., On a problem of potential wells, *J. Convex Analysis* **2** (1995), 103–115.

[81] Cellina A. and Zagatti S., A version of Olech's lemma in a problem of the calculus of variations, *SIAM J. Control Optimization* **32** (1994), 1114–1127.

[82] Cellina A. and Zagatti S., An existence result for a minimum problem in the vectorial case of the calculus of variations, *SIAM J. Control Optimization* **33** (1995), 960–970.

[83] Cesari L., A necessary and sufficient condition for lower semicontinuity, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1974), 467–472.

[84] Cesari L., An existence theorem without convexity conditions, *SIAM J. Control Optimization* **12** (1974), 319–331.

[85] Cesari L., *Optimization – Theory and applications*, Sringer Verlag, 1983.

[86] Chipot M. and Kinderlehrer D., Equilibrium configurations of crystals, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **103** (1988), 237–277.

[87] Chipot M. and Li W., Variational problems with potential wells and nonhomogeneous boundary conditions, in *Calculus of variations and continuum mechanics,* ed. Bouchitté G. et al., World Scientific, Singapore, 1994.

[88] Cianchi A. and Fusco N., Gradient regularity for minimizers under general growth conditions, *J. Reine und Angew Math.*, to appear.

[89] Ciarlet P., *Introduction à l'analyse numérique matricielle*, Masson Paris, 1982.

[90] Ciarlet P., *Mathematical elasticity, Volume 1, Three dimensional elasticity*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1988.

[91] Clarke F.H., *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1983.

[92] Coscia A. and Mingione G., Hölder continuity of the gradient of $p(x)-$harmonic mappings, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.,* to appear.

[93] Coti Zelati V. and Rabinowitz P.H., Homoclinic orbits for second order Hamiltonian systems possessing superquadratic potentials, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **4** (1991), 693–727.

40   References

[94] Crandall M.G., Evans L.C. and Lions P.L., Some properties of viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **282** (1984), 487–502.

[95] Crandall M.G., Ishii H. and Lions P.L., User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **27** (1992), 1–67.

[96] Crandall M.G. and Lions P.L., Viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **277** (1983), 1–42.

[97] Cupini G., Fusco N. and Petti R.: A remark on Hölder continuity of local minimizers, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, to appear.

[98] Cutrì A., Some remarks on Hamilton-Jacobi equations and nonconvex minimization problems, *Rendiconti di Matematica* **13** (1993), 733–749.

[99] Dacorogna B., A relaxation theorem and its applications to the equilibrium of gases, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **77** (1981), 359–386.

[100] Dacorogna B., Quasiconvexity and relaxation of nonconvex variational problems, *J. Functional Analysis* **46** (1982), 102–118.

[101] Dacorogna B., *Direct methods in the calculus of variations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

[102] Dacorogna B., Douchet J., Gangbo W. and Rappaz J., Some examples of rank one convex functions in dimension two, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh* **114A** (1990), 135–150.

[103] Dacorogna B. and Fusco N., Semi-continuité des fonctionnelles avec contraintes du type det $F > 0$, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* **4-8** (1985), 179–189.

[104] Dacorogna B. and Koshigoe H., On the different notions of convexity for rotationally invariant functions, *Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse* **2** (1993), 163–184.

[105] Dacorogna B. and Marcellini P., A counterexample in the vectorial calculus of variations, in *Material instabilities in continuum mechanics*, ed. Ball J.M., Oxford Sci. Publ., Oxford, 1988, 77–83.

[106] Dacorogna B. and Marcellini P., Semicontinuité pour des intégrandes polyconvexes sans continuité des déterminants, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* **311** (1990), 393–396.

[107] Dacorogna B. and Marcellini P., Existence of minimizers for nonquasiconvex integrals, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **131** (1995), 359–399.

[108] Dacorogna B. and Marcellini P., Théorème d'existence dans le cas scalaire et vectoriel pour les équations de Hamilton-Jacobi, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* **322** (1996), 237–240.

[109] Dacorogna B. and Marcellini P., Sur le problème de Cauchy-Dirichlet pour les systèmes d'équations non linéaires du premier ordre, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* **323** (1996), 599–602.

[110] Dacorogna B. and Marcellini P., General existence theorems for Hamilton-Jacobi equations in the scalar and vectorial case, *Acta Mathematica* **178** (1997), 1–37.

[111] Dacorogna B. and Marcellini P., Cauchy-Dirichlet problem for first order nonlinear systems, *J. Functional Analysis* **152** (1998), 404–446.

[112] Dacorogna B. and Marcellini P., Implicit second order partial differential equations, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **25** (1997), 299-328.

[113] Dacorogna B. and Marcellini P., On the solvability of implicit nonlinear systems in the vectorial case, AMS series of Contemporary Mathematics, 1999, to appear.

[114] Dacorogna B. and Marcellini P., Attainment of minima and implicit partial differential equations, *Ricerche di Matematica*, to appear.

[115] Dacorogna B. and Moser J., On a partial differential equation involving the Jacobian determinant, *Annales Institut Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire* **7** (1990), 1–26.

[116] Dacorogna B. and Pfister C.E., Wulff theorem and best constant in Sobolev inequality, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **71** (1992), 97–118.

[117] Dacorogna B. and Tanteri C., On the different convex hulls of sets involving singular values, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh* **128 A** (1998), 1261-1280.

[118] Dacorogna B. and Tanteri C., Some examples of rank one convex hulls and applications, to appear.

[119] Dall'Aglio A., Mascolo E. and Papi G, Local boundedness for minima of functionals with nonstandard growth conditions, *Rendiconti di Matematica* **18** (1998), 305–326.

[120] Dal Maso G., *An introduction to Γ-convergence*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Appl., 8, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.

[121] Dal Maso G. and Modica L.,*A general theory of variational function-als*, Topics in Funct. Analysis 1980-81, Quaderni della Scuola Normale Sup. di Pisa, 1982.

[122] Dal Maso G. and Mosco U., Wiener's criterion and Gamma-convergence, *Appl. Math. Optim.* **15** (1987), 15–63.

[123] Dal Maso G. and Sbordone C., Weak lower semicontinuity of poly-convex integrals: a bordeline case, *Math. Zeit.* **218** (1995), 603–609.

[124] De Arcangelis R. and Trombetti C., On the Relaxation of Some Classes of Dirichlet Minimum Problems, *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, to appear.

[125] De Blasi F.S. and Pianigiani G., A Baire category approach to the existence of solutions of multivalued differential equations in Banch spaces, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj* **25** (1982), 153–162.

[126] De Blasi F.S. and Pianigiani G., Non convex valued differential inclu-sions in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **157** (1991), 469–494.

[127] De Blasi F.S. and Pianigiani G., On the Dirichlet problem for Hamilton-Jacobi equations. A Baire category approach, *Annales In-stitut Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire*, to appear.

[128] De Giorgi E., *Teoremi di semicontinuità nel calcolo delle variazioni*, Itituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica, Roma, 1968–1969.

[129] De Giorgi E., Buttazzo G. and Dal Maso G., On the lower semi-continuity of certain integral functionals, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei* **74** (1983), 274–282.

[130] De Giorgi E. and Dal Maso G., Γ-convergence and calculus of vari-ations, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, **979** (1983), 121–143.

[131] De Simone A. and Dolzmann G., Existence of minimizers for a vari-ational problem in 2-d nonlinear magneto elasticity, preprint, 1997.

[132] Di Benedetto E., $C^{1,\alpha}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations, *Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl.* **7** (1983), 827–850.

[133] Di Benedetto E. and Vespri V., On the singular equation $\beta(u)_t = \Delta u$, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **132** (1995), 247–309.

[134] Dierkes U., Hildebrandt S., Küster A. and Wohlrab O., *Minimal sur-faces I and II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[135] Dolzmann G. and Müller S., Microstructures with finite surface energy: the two-well problem, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **132** (1995), 101–141.

[136] Dontchev A.L. and Zolezzi T., *Well-posed optimization problems*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1543, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.

[137] Douglis A., The continuous dependence of generalized solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations upon initial data, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **14** (1961), 267–284.

[138] Eisen G., A counterexample for some lower semicontinuity results, *Math. Zeit.* **162** (1978), 141–144.

[139] Eisen G., A selection lemma for sequences of measurable sets and lower semicontinuity of multiple integrals, *Manuscripta Math.* **27** (1979), 73–79.

[140] Ekeland I., Discontinuités de champs hamiltoniens et existence de solutions optimales en calcul des variations, *Publ. Math. (IHES)* **47** (1977), 5–32.

[141] Ekeland I., Nonconvex minimization problems, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1** (1979), 443–475.

[142] Ekeland I. and Témam R., *Analyse convexe et problèmes variationnels*, Dunod, Paris, 1974.

[143] Engler H. and Lenhart S.M., Viscosity solutions for weakly coupled systems of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **63** (1991), 212–240.

[144] Esposito L., Leonetti F. and Mingione G., Higher integrability for minimizers of integral functionals with $(p, q)-$growth, *J. Differential Equations*, to appear.

[145] Ericksen J., Some phase transitions in crystals, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **73** (1980), 99–124.

[146] Ericksen J., Constitutive theory for some constrained elastic crystals, *J. Solids and Structures* **22** (1986), 951–964.

[147] Evans L.C., Classical solutions of fully nonlinear, convex, second-order elliptic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **35** (1982), 333–363.

[148] Evans L.C., Quasiconvexity and partial regularity in the calculus of variations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **95** (1986), 227–252.

[149] Evans L.C., *Weak convergence methods for nonlinear partial differential equations*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1990.

[150] Evans L.C. and Gariepy R.F., *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*, Studies in Advanced Math., CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1992.

[151] Federer H., *Geometric measure theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969.

[152] Fichera G., Semicontinuity of multiple integrals in ordinary form, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **17** (1964), 339–352.

[153] Firoozye N.B. and Kohn R.V., Geometric parameters and the relaxation of multiwell energies, in *Microstructure and phase transition*, ed. Kinderlehrer D. et al., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[154] Fleming W.H. and Soner H.M., *Controlled Markov processes and viscosity solutions*, Applications of Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.

[155] Fonseca I., Variational methods for elastic crystals, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **97** (1987), 189–220.

[156] Fonseca I. and Maly J., Relaxation of multiple integrals below the growth exponent, *Annales Institut Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire* **14** (1997), 309–338.

[157] Fonseca I. and Marcellini P., Relaxation of multiple integrals in subcritical Sobolev spaces, *J. Geometric Analysis* **7** (1997), 57–81.

[158] Fonseca I. and Tartar L., The gradient theory of phase transition for systems with two potential wells, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh* **111A** (1989), 89–102.

[159] Foran J., *Fundamentals of real analysis*, Pure and Applied Math., Vol. 144, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991.

[160] Frankowska H., Hamilton-Jacobi equations, viscosity solutions and generalized gradients, *J. Math. Anal.* **141** (1989), 21–26.

[161] Frankowska H., Lower semicontinuous solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations, *SIAM J. Optim. Control* **31** (1993), 257–272.

[162] Friesecke G., A necessary and sufficient condition for nonattainment and formation of microstructure almost everywhere in scalar variational problems, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh* **124A** (1994), 437–471.

[163] Fusco N., Quasi-convessità e semicontinuità per integrali multipli di ordine superiore, *Ricerche di Matematica* **29** (1980), 307–323.

[164] Fusco N. and Hutchinson J.E., A direct proof for lower semicontinuity of polyconvex functionals, *Manuscripta Math.* **87** (1995), 35–50.

[165] Fusco N., Marcellini P. and Ornelas A., Existence of minimizers for some nonconvex one-dimensional integrals, *Portugaliae Mathematica* **55** (1998),167–185.

[166] Gangbo W., On the weak lower semicontinuity of energies with polyconvex integrands, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **73** (1994), 455–469.

[167] Gangbo W., An elementary proof of the polar factorization of vector valued functions, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **128** (1994), 381–399.

[168] Gelfand I.M. and Fomin S.V., *Calculus of variations*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood, 1963.

[169] Georgy N., On existence and nonexistence of viscosity solutions for Hamilton-Jacobi equations in one space dimension, to appear.

[170] Georgy N., Equations de type implicite du premier ordre, thèse EPFL, 1999.

[171] Giachetti D. and Schianchi R., Minima of some nonconvex noncoercive problems, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **165** (1993), 109–120.

[172] Giaquinta M., *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations and nonlinear elliptic systems*, Annals of Math. Studies, 105, Princeton University Press, 1983.

[173] Giaquinta M. and Hildebrandt S., *Calculus of variations I and II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[174] Giaquinta M., Modica G. and Soucek J., Cartesian currents and variational problems for mappings into spheres, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **16** (1989), 393–485.

[175] Giaquinta M., Modica G. and Soucek J., Remarks on quasiconvexity and lower semicontinuity, *Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* **2** (1995), 573–588.

[176] Giaquinta M., Modica G. and Soucek J., *Cartesian currents in the calculus of variations I and II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[177] Gilbarg D. and Trudinger N.S., *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.

[178] Giusti E., *Metodi diretti del calcolo delle variazioni*, Unione Matematica Italiana, Tecnoprint, Bologna, 1994.

[179] Goffman G. and Serrin J., Sublinear functions of measures and variational integrals, *Duke Math. J.* **31** (1964), 159–178.

[180] Greene R.E. and Shiohama K., Diffeomorphisms and volume preserving embeddings of noncompact manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **255** (1979), 403–414.

[181] Gromov M., Convex integration of differential relations I, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **37** (1973), 329–343.

[182] Gromov M., *Partial differential relations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

[183] Guidorzi M. and Poggiolini L., Lower semicontinuity for quasiconvex integrals of higher order, *Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, to appear.

[184] Hadamard J., Sur quelques questions du calcul des variations, *Bulletin Société Math. de France* **33** (1905), 73–80.

[185] Hartman P. and Stampacchia G., On some nonlinear elliptic differential functional equations, *Acta Math.* **115** (1966), 271–310.

[186] Hashin Z. and Shtrikman A., A variational approach to the theory of effective magnetic permeability of multiple materials, *Journal of Applied Physics* **33** (1962), 3125–3131.

[187] Hill R., Constitutive inequalities for isotropic elastic solids under finite strain, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A* **314** (1970), 457–472.

[188] Hopf E., Generalized solutions of nonlinear equations of first order, *J. Math. Mech.* **14** (1965), 951–974.

[189] Hörmander L., *Notions of Convexity*, Birkhaüser, Boston, 1994.

[190] Horn R.A. and Johnson C.A., *Matrix analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1985.

[191] Ioffe A.D., On lower semicontinuity of integral functionals I, *SIAM J. Control Optimization* **15** (1977), 521–538.

[192] Ioffe A.D. and Tihomirov V.M., *Theory of extremal problems*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.

[193] Ishii H., Perron's method for monotone systems of second order elliptic pdes, *Differential Integral Equations* **5** (1992), 1–24.

[194] Ishii H. and Koike S., Viscosity solutions of a system of nonlinear second order elliptic pdes arising in switching games, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **34** (1991), 143–155.

[195] Iwaniec T. and Lutoborski A., Integral estimates for null Lagrangians, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **125** (1993), 25–79.

[196] Iwaniec T. and Sbordone C., Weak minima of variational integrals, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **454** (1994), 143–161.

[197] Iwaniec T. and Sbordone C., Div-Curl Field of finite distorsion, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* **327** (1998), 729–734.

[198] Kalamajska A., On lower semicontinuity of multiple integrals, *Colloq. Math.* **74** (1997), 71–78.

[199] Kawohl B., Recent results on Newton's problem of minimal resistance, *Nonlinear Analysis Appl.* (Warsaw, 1994), 249–259.

[200] Kinderlehrer D. and Pedregal P., Remarks about the analysis of gradient Young measures, ed. Miranda M., Pitman Research Notes in Math. 262, Longman, 1992, 125–150.

[201] Kinderlherer D. and Stampacchia G., *Introduction to variational inequalities and their applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.

[202] Kirchheim B., Lipschitz minimizers of the 3-well problem having gradients of bounded variation, Preprint Max-Plank-Institut, Leipzig, 1998.

[203] Knowles J.K. and Sternberg E., On the failure of ellipticity of the equation of the finite elastostatic plane strain, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **63** (1976), 321–336.

[204] Kohn R.V., The relaxation of double-well energy, *Continuum Mech. and Thermodynamics* **3** (1991), 193–236.

[205] Kohn R.V. and Strang G., Optimal design and relaxation of variational problems I, II, III, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **39** (1986), 113–137, 139–182, 353–377.

[206] Kristensen J., Lower semicontinuity in Sobolev spaces below the growth exponent of the integrand, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **127** (1997),797–817.

[207] Kruzkov S.N., Generalized solutions of nonlinear first order equations with several independent variables, *USSR Sbornik* 1967, 217–243.

[208] Kruzkov S.N., Generalized solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equation of eikonal type, *USSR Sbornik* **27** (1975), 406–446.

[209] Kuiper N.H., On C^1 isometric embeddings I, *Proc. Konikl. Nederl. Ak. Wet.* **58** (1955), 545–556.

48   References

[210] Ladyzhenskaya O.A. and Uraltseva N.N., *Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations*, Academic Press, New-York, 1968.

[211] Lax P.D., Hyperbolic systems of conservation laws II, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **10** (1957), 537–566.

[212] Lebesgue H., Sur l'intégration des fonctions discontinues, *Annales Scient. de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure* **27** (1910), 361–450.

[213] Le Dret H., Constitutive laws and existence questions in incompressible nonlinear elasticity, *Journal of Elasticity* **15** (1985), 369–387.

[214] Le Dret H., Sur les fonctions de matrices convexes et isotropes, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* **310** (1990), 617–620.

[215] Le Dret H. and Raoult A., Le modèle de membrane non linéaire comme limite variationnelle de l'élasticité non linéaire tridimensionnelle, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* **317** (1993), 221–226.

[216] Le Dret H. and Raoult A., Enveloppe quasi-convexe de la densité d'énergie de Saint Venant-Kirchoff, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* **318** (1994), 93–98.

[217] Lions J.L. and Magenes E., *Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and applications I,II,III*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

[218] Lions P.L., *Generalized solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, Research Notes in Math. 69, Pitman, London, 1982.

[219] Luskin M., On the computation of crystalline microstructure, *Acta Numerica* (1996), 191–257.

[220] MacShane E.J., On the necessary condition of Weierstrass in the multiple integral problem of the calculus of variations, *Ann. Math.* **32** (1931), 578–590.

[221] Magnanini R. and Talenti G., On complex-valued solutions to a 2D eikonal equation. Part one, qualitative properties, preprint, 1998.

[222] Maly J., Weak lower semicontinuity of polyconvex integrals, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **123** (1993), 681–691.

[223] Maly J., Weak lower semicontinuity of quasiconvex integrals, *Manuscripta Math.* **85** (1994), 419-428.

[224] Marcellini P., Alcune osservazioni sull'esistenza del minimo di integrali del calcolo delle variazioni senza ipotesi di convessità, *Rend. Mat.* **13** (1980), 271–281.

[225] Marcellini P., A relation between existence of minima for nonconvex integrals and uniqueness for not strictly convex integrals of the calculus of variations, in: *Math. Theories of Optimization*, ed. Cecconi J.P. et al., Lecture Notes in Math. 979, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983, 216–231.

[226] Marcellini P., Some remarks on uniqueness in the calculus of variations, Collège de France Seminar, ed. Brezis H. et al., Research Notes in Math. 84, 1983, 148–153.

[227] Marcellini P., Approximation of quasiconvex functions and lower semicontinuity of multiple integrals, *Manuscripta Math.* **51** (1985), 1–28.

[228] Marcellini P., On the definition and the lower semicontinuity of certain quasiconvex integrals, *Annales Institut Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire* **3** (1986), 1–28.

[229] Marcellini P., The stored-energy for some discontinuous deformations in nonlinear elasticity, Essays in honor of E. De Giorgi, Vol. 2, ed.Colombini F. et al., Birkhäuser, 1989, 767–786.

[230] Marcellini P., Non convex integrals of the calculus of variations, in: *Methods of nonconvex analysis*, ed. Cellina A., Lecture Notes in Math. 1446, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990, 16–57.

[231] Marcellini P. and Sbordone C., Relaxation of nonconvex variational problems, *Rend. Acc. Naz. Lincei* **63** (1977), 341–344.

[232] Marcellini P. and Sbordone C., Semicontinuity problems in the calculus of variations, *Nonlinear Analysis* **4** (1980), 241–257.

[233] Marcus M. and Mizel V.J., Lower semicontinuity in parametric variational problems, the area formula and related results, *American Journal of Math.* **99** (1975), 579–600.

[234] Marino A., Micheletti A.M. and Pistoia A., A nonsymmetric asymptotically linear elliptic problem, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **4** (1994), 289–339.

[235] Mascolo E., Some remarks on nonconvex problems, in: *Material instabilities in continuum mechanics*, ed. Ball J.M., Oxford Univ. Press, 1988, 269–286.

[236] Mascolo E. and Papi G., Local boundness of minimizers of integrals of the calculus of variations, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **167** (1994), 323–339.

[237] Mascolo E. and Papi G., Harnack inequality for minimizers of integral functionals with general growth conditions, *Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* **3** (1996), 231–244.

[238] Mascolo E. and Schianchi R., Existence theorems for nonconvex problems, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **62** (1983), 349–359.

[239] Mascolo E. and Schianchi R., Nonconvex problems in the calculus of variations, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory Meth. Appl.* **9** (1985), 371–379.

[240] Mascolo E. and Schianchi R., Existence theorems in the calculus of variations, *J. Differential Equations* **67** (1987), 185–198.

[241] Meyers N.G., Quasiconvexity and the semicontinuity of multiple integrals, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **119** (1965), 125–149.

[242] Miranda M., Un teorema di esistenza e unicità per il problema dell'area minima in n variabili, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **19** (1965), 233–249.

[243] Mirsky L., A trace inequality of John von Neumann, *Monatsh. für Math.* **79** (1975), 303–306.

[244] Monteiro Marques M.D.P. and Ornelas A., Genericity and existence of a minimum for scalar integral functionals, *J. Optimization Th. Appl.* **86** (1995), 421–431.

[245] Morrey C.B., Quasiconvexity and the lower semicontinuity of multiple integrals, *Pacific J. Math.* **2** (1952), 25–53.

[246] Morrey C.B., *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.

[247] Moser J., On the volume elements on a manifold, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **120** (1965), 286–294.

[248] Müller S., Minimizing sequences for nonconvex functionals, phase transitions and singular perturbations, Lecture Notes in Physics, Spriger-Verlag, 1990, 31–44.

[249] Müller S. and Sverak V., Attainment results for the two-well problem by convex integration, ed. Jost J., International Press, 1996, 239–251.

[250] Müller S. and Sverak V., Unexpected solutions of first and second order partial differential equations, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Berlin 1998, Documents mathematica, Vol. II, 1998, 691–702.

[251] Murat F., Compacité par compensation, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **5** (1978), 489–507.

[252] Murat F., Compacité par compensation II, in: *Internat. Meeting on Recent Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*, ed. De Giorgi E. et al., Pitagora, Bologna, 1979, 245–256.

[253] Nash J., C^1 isometric embeddings, *Ann. Math.* **60** (1955), 383–396.

[254] Necas J., *Les méthodes directes en théorie des équations elliptiques*, Masson, Paris, 1967.

[255] Newcomb R.T. and Su J., Eikonal equations with discontinuities, *Differential Integral Equations* **8** (1995), 1947–1960.

[256] Olech C., Integral of set valued functions and linear optimal control problems, in: *Colloque sur la théorie mathématique du contrôle optimal*, C.B.R.M. Louvain, 1970, 109–125.

[257] Olech C., A characterization of L^1-weak lower semicontinuity of integral functionals, *Bull. Polish. Acad. Sci. Math.* **25** (1977), 135–142.

[258] Oleinik O.A., Shamaev A.S. and Yosifian G.A., *Mathematical problems in elasticity and homogenization*, Studies in Mathematics and its Applications, 26, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1992.

[259] Ornelas A., Existence of scalar minimizers for nonconvex simple integrals of sum type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **221** (1998), 559–573.

[260] Oxtoby J. and Ulam S., Measure preserving homeomorphisms and metrical transitivity, *Annals of Math.* **42** (1941), 874–920.

[261] Percivale D., A Remark on relaxation of integral functionals, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory Meth. Appl.* **16** (1991), 791–793.

[262] Pianigiani G., Differential inclusions. The Baire category method, in: *Methods of nonconvex analysis*, ed. Cellina A., Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990, 104–136.

[263] Pipkin A.C., Elastic materials with two preferred states, *Quart. J. Applied Math.* **44** (1991), 1–15.

[264] Poggiolini L., Almost everywhere solutions of partial differential equations and systems of any order, *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, to appear.

[265] Raymond J.P., Champs Hamiltoniens, relaxation et existence de solutions en calcul des variations, *J. Differential Equations* **70** (1987), 226–274.

52   References

[266] Raymond J.P., Conditions nécessaires et suffisantes de solutions en calcul des variations, *Annales Institut Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire* **4** (1987), 169–202.

[267] Raymond J.P., Théoreme d'existence pour des problèmes variationnels non convexes, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh* **107A** 1987, 43–64.

[268] Raymond J.P., Existence of minimizers for vector problems without quasiconvexity conditions, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory Meth. Appl.* **18** (1992), 815–828.

[269] Reimann H.M., Harmonische Funktionen und Jacobi-Determinanten von Diffeomorphisen, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **47** (1972), 397–408.

[270] Reshetnyak Y., General theorems on semicontinuity and on convergence with a functional, *Sibir. Math.* **8** (1967), 801–816.

[271] Rivière T. and Ye D., A resolution of the prescribed volume form equation, *Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* **3** (1996), 323–369.

[272] Rockafellar R.T., Integral functionals, normal integrands and measurable selections, in: *Nonlinear operators and the calculus of variations*, Bruxelles, Lecture Notes in Math. 543, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975, 157–207.

[273] Rockafellar R.T., *Convex Analysis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1970.

[274] Roubicek T., *Relaxation in optimization theory and variational calculus*, W. de Gruyter, 1996.

[275] Rund H., *The Hamilton-Jacobi theory in the calculus of variations*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1966.

[276] Saks S., *Theory of the integral*, English Transl. by L. C. Young, Monografie Matematyczne, Warszawa, 1937.

[277] Savaré G. and Tomarelli F., Superposition and chain rule for Bounded Hessian functions, *Advances in Math.* **140** (1998), 237–281.

[278] Sbordone C., Lower semicomtinuity and regularity of minima of variational integrals, in: *Nonlinear P.D.E. and Appl., Collège de France Seminar*, ed. Brézis H. et al., Pitman, 1983, 194–213.

[279] Serre D., Formes quadratiques et calcul des variations, *Journal Math. Pures et Appl.* **62** (1983), 117–196.

[280] Serrin J., On a fundamental theorem of the calculus of variations, *Acta Mathematica* **102** (1959), 1–32.

[281] Serrin J., On the definition and properties of certain variational integrals, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **101** (1961), 139–167.

[282] Silhavy M., Convexity conditions for rotationally invariant functions in two dimensions, preprint.

[283] Spring D., *Convex integration theory*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.

[284] Struwe M., *Plateau's problem and the calculus of variations*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1988.

[285] Struwe M., *Variational methods: applications to nonlinear partial differential equations and Hamiltonian systems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

[286] Subbotin A.I., *Generalized solutions of first order partial differential equations: the dynamical optimization perspective*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1995.

[287] Sverak V., On optimal shape design, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **72** (1993), 537-551.

[288] Sverak V., Rank one convexity does not imply quasiconvexity, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh* **120A** (1992), 185–189.

[289] Sverak V., On the problem of two wells, in: *Microstructure and phase transitions*, IMA Vol. Appl. Math. 54, ed. Ericksen J. et al., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993, 183–189.

[290] Sychev M.A., Characterization of homogeneous scalar variational problems solvable for all boundary data, Preprint CMU Pittsburgh, 97-203, October 1997.

[291] Sychev M.A., Comparing various methods of resolving nonconvex problems, preprint, 1998.

[292] Tahraoui R., Théorèmes d'existence en calcul des variations et applications à l'élasticité non linéaire, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* **302** (1986), 495–498. Also in: *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh* **109A** (1988), 51–78.

[293] Tahraoui R., Sur une classe de fonctionnelles non convexes et applications, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **21** (1990), 37–52.

[294] Talenti G., *Calcolo delle variazioni*, Quaderni dell'Unione Matematica Italiana n. 2, Pitagora Ed., 1977.

[295] Tartar L., Estimations fines des coefficients homogénéisés, in: *Ennio De Giorgi colloquium*, ed. Krée P., Research Notes in Math. 125, Pitman, 1985, 168-187.

[296] Tartar L., Some remarks on separately convex functions, in: *Microstructure and phase transitions*, IMA Vol. Appl. Math. 54, ed. Ericksen J. et al., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993, 191–204.

[297] Thompson R.C. and Freede L.J., Eigenvalues of sums of Hermitian matrices, *J. Research Nat. Bur. Standards B* **75B** 1971, 115–120.

[298] Tomarelli F., A quasi-variational problem in nonlinear elasticity, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **158** (1991), 331–389.

[299] Tonelli L., *Fondamenti di calcolo delle variazioni*, Volume 1, Zanichelli Ed., 1921.

[300] Treu G., An existence result for a class of nonconvex problems of the calculus of variations, *J. Convex Analysis* **5** (1998), 31–44.

[301] Trudinger N.S., Fully nonlinear, uniformly elliptic equations under natural structure conditions, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **278** (1983), 751–769.

[302] Vitali G., Sui gruppi di punti e sulle funzioni di variabili reali, *Atti Accad. Sci. Torino* **43** (1908), 75–92.

[303] Von Neumann J., Some matrix inequalities and metrization of matrix-space, *Tomsk Univ. Rev.* (1937), 286–300. See also: *Collected works vol.IV*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1962.

[304] Yan Baisheng, $W^{1,p}$ quasiconvex hulls of set of matrices and the weak convergence in Sobolev spaces, preprint.

[305] Ye D., Prescribing the Jacobian determinant in Sobolev spaces, *Annales Institut Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire* **3** (1994), 275–296.

[306] Yosida K., *Functional analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.

[307] Young L.C., *Lectures on the calculus of variations and optimal control theory*, W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia, 1969.

[308] Zagatti S., On the Dirichlet problem for vectorial Hamilton-Jacobi equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **29** (1998), 1481–1491.

[309] Zagatti S., Minimization of functionals of the gradient by Baire's theorem, Preprint SISSA, 71/97, May 1997.

[310] Zehnder E., Note on smoothing symplectic and volume preserving diffeomorphisms, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 597, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976, 828–855.

[311] Zeidler E., *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications, I, II, III, IV*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985–1988.

[312] Zhang K., On various semiconvex hulls in the calculus of variations, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **6** (1998), 143–160.

[313] Zhang K., On the structure of quasiconvex hulls, *Annales Institut Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire* **15** (1998), 663–686.

[314] Zhou X.P., Weak lower semicontinuity of a functional with any order, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **221** (1998), 217–237.

[315] Zhikov V.V., Kozlov S.M. and Oleinik O.A., *Homogenization of differential operators and integral functionals*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

[316] Ziemer W.P., *Weakly differentiable functions*, Graduate Texts in Math., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.